Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2024 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2024 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It

- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.
- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed–including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with–if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.
- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: WV-501 - Huntington/Cabell, Wayne Counties CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Cabell Huntington Coalition for the Homeless

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Cabell Huntington Coalition for the Homeless

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	**Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.**	
	NOFO Sections V.B.1.a.(1), V.B.1.e., V.B.1f., and V.B.1.p.	

	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2023 to April 30, 2024:
1.	**select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted–including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC's coordinated entry system; or**
2.	**select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC's geographic area:**

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC's Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Hospital(s)	Yes	No	Yes
8.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent	No	No
9.	Law Enforcement	No	No	Yes
10.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Nonexistent	No	No
12.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Jail(s)	No	No	Yes
14.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
15.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	No	No	No

17.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Nonexistent	No	No
19.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	Yes
22.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
24.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
25.	Agencies Serving Survivors of Human Trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.	Homeless Veteran Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
35.	Peer Support Specialist/Wellness & Recovery	Yes	Yes	Yes

1B-1a.	Experience Promoting Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section III.B.3.c.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's experience in effectively addressing the needs of underserved communities, particularly Black and Brown communities, who are substantially overrepresented in the homeless population.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC strives to effectively address the needs of underserved communities who are overrepresented in the population of those experiencing homelessness. For the past five years, the CoC has conducted an annual system wide racial disparity analysis. Data consistently indicates that Black or African Americans are substantially overrepresented in the population of those experiencing homeless. To address this need, the CoC's Staff Training & Development Committee provides training that addresses the intersection of race & homelessness. The training subcommittee actively seeks new materials to educate & train staff to better meet the needs of underserved communities. All CoC agencies hire a diverse team of staff that reflect the communities we serve. The Steering Committee, CoC agency's boards of directors, & all CoC subcommittees have representation from people of color & provide oversight & creation of CoC policies & procedures. The CoC has recently relaunched an Advocacy Subcommittee to assist the CoC with advocating for communities who are underserved but overrepresented in the population we serve. The Huntington Human Rights Commission is a voting member of the CoC. The CoC maintains high levels of visibility in the community & is actively involved in events like Juneteenth. Lead agency staff participate in the Mayor's Council on Diversity & Inclusion for the largest city in our CoC. The VSP, a CoC member, publishes a podcast that promotes racial equity and has featured topics such as Celebrating Voices of Color & Advocacy and culturally specific programming for survivors. To better meet the needs of underserved communities, the CoC has appointed two advocates who are available to address any fair housing complaints & walk through the grievance process with underserved persons, if needed. The CoC strives to effectively address the needs of all underserved communities & has created the Agents of Pride subcommittee for LGBTQ+ & allies, a multiagency collaboration to address equity in the workplace.

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(2)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
2.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in your CoC's geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)Monthly meeting invitations are posted on member agency & CoC social media, & permanently posted to the lead agency's website. Invitations to solicit new members are posted on participating agency websites & social media & sent out on our CoC listserv. CoC members frequently extend in-person invitations in the community. CoC leadership routinely engages in one-on-one conversations in an effort to recruit new partners, including organizations w/little knowledge of the CoC & healthcare providers. We have sought assistance from our local government in identifying & recruiting housing developers to partner w/us in our two counties. The CoC seeks involvement & membership through events such as the PIT count, public forums, Lunch & Learn events, Homeless Veterans' Stand Down, & special outreach events. 2)CoC communication is available in accessible electronic formats. CoC announcements re: membership meetings & the NOFO process are posted on member agency websites & social media platforms, including the local PHA website, which is AudioEye certified (ADA compliant, automatically tests & fixes 400+ accessibility issues). Public meetings & focus groups are held in accessible spaces, & transportation for persons w/disabilities is coordinated. A sign language interpreter is employed by a CoC-funded program. 3)The CoC has invited & successfully integrated organizations serving culturally specific communities, including LGBTQ+ & disability service organizations. Organizations & individuals in these communities collaborate as CoC partners to address equity for clients/staff. The CoC created Agents of Pride, a multiagency collaboration to address equity in the workplace. The CoC lead agency has invited the Human Rights Commission to participate as a CoC member in an effort to remain connected to issues surrounding racial equity. CoC-funded agencies have participated in special outreach in communities of color to extend opportunities for further collaboration. Lead agency staff participate in the Mayor's Council on Diversity & Inclusion for the largest city in our CoC. CoC Staff partner w/disability rights' advocates & organizations serving disabled persons to address needs.

1B-3.	**CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(3)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	**solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;**
2.	**communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information;**
3.	**ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and**
4.	**took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.**

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC strives to include organizations w/expertise in decision-making & creating strategies to end homelessness. We utilize traditional/social media, websites, & email to invite a broad array of individuals & organizations to our public meetings & to solicit opinions. We integrate best practices & training from those that have knowledge of homelessness by providing free community training. We invite feedback from the Lived Experience Subcommittee to gain their perspective on preventing/ending homelessness. CoC members meet regularly w/a broad array of organizations to share information/collaborate on solutions. The CoC has strong clinical collaboration w/healthcare providers (medical, crisis intervention, mental health). The CoC includes the expertise of direct service providers who work w/those experiencing homelessness & w/lived experience of homelessness, via a lived experience subcommittee, focus groups, surveys, & one-on-one interactions. 2)The lead agency participates in public forums to exchange information w/stakeholders & community members. The CoC communicates w/the public thru various online venues (websites, social media, podcasts). Those w/an interest in preventing/ending/serving persons experiencing homelessness are regularly invited to participate in CoC Meetings. 3)The CoC has cultivated an environment of open discourse & a safe space for the public to provide input on preventing/ending homelessness, thru hybrid, monthly CoC Meetings, open/accessible social media, & one-on one conversations at outreach. All meetings are open to the public & widely advertised across the area. Public meetings & focus groups are held virtually or in accessible spaces, & transportation for persons w/disabilities is coordinated. A sign language interpreter is employed by a CoC-funded program. 4)Information gathered in the mentioned settings is conveyed to the CoC's governing body & reviewed to determine effectiveness. Ideas regarding innovative solutions, gaps in services, improvements, & new approaches to prevent/end homelessness are considered. In response to public input, the CoC's Advocacy Committee is focusing efforts to address barriers related to the stigma, utilizing social media to highlight the humanity & dignity of those experiencing homelessness. Public concerns have illustrated the need for wraparound services to ensure those experiencing homelessness can move into/maintain housing. As a result, CoC agencies have hired 4 Housing Navigators.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(4)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications–the process;
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and
4.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC's local competition announcements are pushed out via social media, the CoC listserv, and member agency websites. Information pertaining to the CoC's local competition, includes a notice soliciting letters of intent to apply for CoC funding; instructions on accessing CoC provided technical assistance; links to detailed instructions, esnaps information, and the NOFO itself; project application instructions; and policies and procedures for prioritization. Letters of intent are solicited from interested agencies. The CoC pushes out targeted advertisements through the methods described above and in public meetings, encouraging organizations not previously funded to apply for CoC funds. Throughout the last year, the CoC lead agency has encouraged additional agencies to participate in the CoC funding process, including assisting with project development. 2)Local competition announcements include instructions on how to submit project applications, where to go for technical assistance, submission deadlines, and
contact information for the CoC lead agency. The lead agency and Steering Committee consultant are available for technical assistance throughout the application process. The director of the CoC lead agency also reaches out directly to new applicants to review the process and to answer questions. 3)To ensure a clear and unbiased process, the CoC publicly posts the rating and ranking tools and the policies and procedures for ranking project applications, including information regarding the criteria used in determining which project applications would be submitted and how they are prioritized. These announcements are made via social media, the CoC listserv, and member agency & local government websites. Prospective applicants are encouraged to contact the lead agency for more information. 4)All CoC communication is available in accessible electronic formats. Announcements regarding the NOFO process are posted across member agency websites and social media platforms, including the local public housing authority website, which is AudioEye certified (ADA compliant, automatically tests for over 400 accessibility issues, and fixes most accessibility issues automatically). The CoC is committed to ensuring equitable access to all. Should an entity contact us regarding barriers to accessibility, the CoC lead agency will work with that entity to accommodate the need.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	**Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

	In the chart below:
1.	**select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or**
2.	**select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.**

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	No
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	
18.		No

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

In the chart below select yes or no to indicate whether your CoC:

1.	Consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG Program funds?	Yes
2.	Provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area?	Yes
3.	Ensured local homelessness information is communicated and addressed in the Consolidated Plan updates?	Yes
4.	Coordinated with ESG recipients in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients?	Yes

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated?	Yes
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure family members are not separated?	Yes
3.	Worked with CoC and ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients?	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance?	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting questions or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance by service providers?	No

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth–SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

CoC and ESG funded programs partner with school districts to ensure children and youth experiencing homelessness have access to education and associated resources. Over the past year, the CoC has made targeted efforts to ensure that Coordinated Entry informational materials are accessible and easily understood. These materials are shared with local school representation to be disbursed within schools, as needed. Staff from the CoC's youth drop-in center distribute information on-site at local schools. The school district's homeless liaison serves as the CoC's McKinney-Vento education liaison. The liaison and social work staff are formal key members of the CoC, subcommittees, and the CoC's strategic planning process. The liaison, school guidance counselors, and bus drivers participate in PIT planning and implementation. Our ES collaborates with school districts to provide tutoring and homework help. School districts partner with the CoC to provide electronic devices, school supplies, clothing, hygiene supplies, and snacks. CoC partners operate a drop-in youth opportunity hub and collaborate with school districts to provide access to resources, services, and to ensure students experiencing or at risk of homelessness can regularly attend school. CoC-funded partners contract with local school districts, including public pre-K, to provide behavioral health care, strengthening the relationship with the CoC. The CoC provides annual training on the rights of children experiencing homelessness under McKinney Vento, and each CoC and ESG funded program is required to have a person responsible for ensuring that the educational rights of children experiencing homelessness are protected and that guardians are provided with needed information. Annually, local school districts provide information to all guardians of students enrolled regarding educational rights under McKinney Vento. The CoC collaborates with public school staff to identify families with children and unaccompanied youth experiencing homelessness. Training on accessing CoC resources and connecting families with children who are experiencing homelessness to Coordinated Entry is offered at least twice yearly through the Frontline Subcommittee, which has representation from the local school district. LEAs are invited to participate in CoC working groups and regularly contribute to the working group for frontline staff.

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Who Have Recently Begun Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC uses to inform individuals and families who have recently begun experiencing homelessness of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC's compendium includes policies and procedures requiring all CoC/ESG funded programs to inform individuals and families of their eligibility for educational services under McKinney Vento. A CoC subcommittee follows up w/programs on this requirement. The CoC collaborates with the local education authorities to identify individuals and families that become homeless. Policies and procedures are in place to determine if participants are eligible for educational services. Access is provided to those educational services. The CoC works with all ESG and CoC-funded housing and service providers to ensure that they are aware of the educational requirements set forth by the McKinney Vento Act. As such, all children are enrolled in early childhood programs or in school and connected to appropriate services in the community. Local students who are experiencing homelessness may continue with their school of record prior to their homelessness and moving into the emergency shelter, so as not to disrupt their educational circumstances. Homeless providers coordinate with local school districts to provide transportation. Children coming to the emergency shelter from other communities have the option to be enrolled in the local school system and followed by the LEA Homeless Liaison and the Women and Families' Coordinator located at the emergency shelter. Finally, the CoC requires that all providers inform families and unaccompanied youth of their educational rights at intake into their programs. All providers are required to collaborate with the local school district liaison as a matter of policy when a new child or youth enters the program. Materials are provided to families and unaccompanied youth regarding these rights. Compliance is ensured through project monitoring and review of individual agency Policies and Procedures regarding children's receipt of educational services.

1C-4c.	**Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	**Birth to 3 years**	Yes	No
2.	**Child Care and Development Fund**	No	No
3.	**Early Childhood Providers**	Yes	No
4.	**Early Head Start**	No	No
5.	**Federal Home Visiting Program–(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)**	Yes	No
6.	**Head Start**	No	Yes
7.	**Healthy Start**	No	No
8.	**Public Pre-K**	Yes	Yes
9.	**Tribal Home Visiting Program**	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.	Early Childhood SVCS through CoC membership agreements.	Yes	Yes

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking–Collaboration with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.

In the chart below select yes or no for the organizations your CoC collaborates with:

	Organizations	
1.	State Domestic Violence Coalitions	Yes
2.	State Sexual Assault Coalitions	Yes
3.	Anti-trafficking Service Providers	Yes
	Other Organizations that Help this Population (limit 500 characters)	
4.	VAWA-Funded Cabell County Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, Legal Aid of WV	Yes

1C-5a.	Collaborating with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers to Address Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations that you selected yes to in Question 1C-5 to:
1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC's geographic area are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Our local domestic violence shelter is fully integrated into our Continuum of Care at all levels. Branches Domestic Violence Shelter's (BDVS) director is active on the CoC Steering Committee, the CoC's governing body. This allows for a victim services' perspective in the development of CoC system wide policies & procedures, as well as CoC wide training for frontline staff. BDVS staff facilitate the CoC's Staff Development & Training subcommittee, which provides required training on a variety of topics, including training related to addressing needs & unique intersectional identities of those experiencing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, & stalking. BDVS serves as one of the CoC's three CE access points. BDVS also collaborates with the CoC's lead agency to train Coordinated Entry (CE) staff & to develop relevant CE policies & procedures. This ensures all clients are met with a trauma-informed approach upon entry into services & that CE staff are well positioned to assist in safety planning. Full integration means that BDVS staff are active participants in all CoC subcommittees. Their presence influences decision making at all levels & has impacted CoC culture to become more trauma-informed & victim-centered. 2) As mentioned above, BDVS involvement on all CoC subcommittees, workgroups, & technical assistance informs staffs' ability to have a victim-centered focus when providing housing & services. Staff are trained to make referrals to the appropriate service providers when clients are individuals & families of persons experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking who qualify under the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act. Due to the nature of these victimizations, staff adopt an intentionally trauma- informed, warm-hand off approach to these referrals. When survivors choose to receive services through CoC agencies that are not VSPs, staff are able to meet their needs in a trauma-informed manner. This is due largely to the close collaboration & open communication between these agencies & BDVS.

1C-5b.	**Implemented Safety Planning, Confidentiality Protocols in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry addresses the needs of DV survivors by including:
1.	**safety planning protocols; and**
2.	**confidentiality protocols.**

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)Coordinated Entry (CE) staff are routinely trained on trauma-informed, victim centered approaches & safety planning & protection orders & understand that individuals know best what they need to stay safe & work closely to meet needs. Training is comprehensive & includes an additional training to address CE specific issues, like confidentiality. CE staff are trained to understand that safety planning w/survivors must evolve w/rapidly changing situations & provide realistic/feasible solutions. At CE access points, domestic partners are separately screened for DV to ensure safety. In respecting client choice/control, persons fleeing DV & needing shelter have the option to enter our DV shelter, ES, or transfer to another community. DV victims are given a preference for PH by our PHA, retain Section 8 & PSH vouchers when fleeing, to address increased trauma. DV victims have access to DV only RRH & 4 PBV units set aside for victims. To prioritize safety, CoC agencies may provide services in the DV shelter. ES has alternative safety protocols for DV victims not staying at DV shelter. Branches DV Shelter (BDVS) advises the CoC when reviewing/creating policies. BDVS is a CoC RRH recipient & voting member of all CoC decision-making bodies. BDVS provides quarterly CoC training on unique needs of victims & has a 24/7 hotline & ES, w/emphasis on a victim-centered approach, client choice & safety, & provides legal advocacy, case management, RRH, counseling & support to DV victims. BDVS strategizes support for victims at all points of crisis. For example, CoC programs utilize "shoe cards" or easily hidden informational cards, detailing how to create a personalized safety plan & providing the hotline number for survivors. Due to the complexity of survivors' situations, VSP advocates are available to CE staff for assistance, as needed. Advocates operate a 24/7 hotline to maximize services' safety. 2) At CE access points, intakes & assessments are conducted privately to ensure confidentiality. BDVS provides training to CE staff on HMIS best practices to protect confidentiality. VSP client data is kept on an HMIS comparable database & only shared w/a signed, time-limited, informed & written release. Only aggregate, non-identifiable data is used to measure performance. CoC staff are provided w/annual security & confidentiality training, in accordance w/federal provisions to protect victim information.

1C-5c.	**Coordinated Annual Training on Best Practices to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

In the chart below, indicate how your CoC facilitates training for project staff and coordinated entry staff that addresses best practices on safety planning and confidentiality protocols:

		Project Staff	Coordinated Entry Staff
1.	Training Occurs at least annually?	Yes	Yes
2.	Incorporates Trauma Informed best practices?	Yes	Yes
3.	Incorporates Survivor-Centered best practices?	Yes	Yes
4.	Identifies and assesses survivors' individual safety needs?	Yes	Yes
5.	Enhances and supports collaboration with DV organizations?	Yes	Yes
6.	Ensures survivors' rights, voices, and perspectives are incorporated?	Yes	Yes
	Other? (limit 500 characters)		

7.	Training on the Intersection of DV and Underserved Communities	Yes	Yes

1C-5d.	Implemented VAWA-Required Written Emergency Transfer Plan Policies and Procedures for Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	whether your CoC's written policies and procedures include an emergency transfer plan;
2.	how your CoC informs all households seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance about their rights to an emergency transfer;
3.	what your CoC requires households to do to request emergency transfers; and
4.	what your CoC does in response to households requesting emergency transfers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC's written policies and procedure require every CoC and ESG-funded program to have a written emergency transfer plan. Each CoC/ESG agency has their own Emergency Transfer Plan with policies & procedures in place & has developed protocols to prioritize safety & ensure that services are trauma informed & victim centered for DV, sexual assault, human trafficking, & stalking survivors. All CoC programs distribute a copy of their Emergency Transfer Plan, Notice of Rights under VAWA, Emergency Transfer Request form, and Certification of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, or Stalking, and Alternate Documentation form to all clients at all intakes and during safety planning encounters and at PSH housing debriefs and with any adverse action. Clients sign to attest receipt of these items. 2)CoC funded programs explain emergency transfer policies & procedures during intake & have a written copy available for program participants to keep. Intake staff document that all households entering CoC funded programs have been provided with this information. This information is conveyed in advance to all persons to ensure any person finding themselves in a situation from which they need to flee is already aware of options & assistance available. 3)The emergency transfer plan is designed to be low barrier & confidential to maximize safety. Persons needing to access an emergency transfer need only to inform any staff person in the CoC funded program. CoC funded programs explain this at intake when reviewing policies & procedures to ensure persons in need of assistance understand the ease with which this process can be accessed. 4)When an emergency transfer is requested, the CoC staff make every effort to ensure confidentiality & safety. The information provided at intake regarding the option of emergency transfer is provided again to the person making the request. CoC staff inform the landlord of the client's intent to vacate in writing without disclosing the reason. Care is taken to ensure abusers in the household are not aware of the existence of an emergency transfer plan. Staff discreetly create a safety plan with the client for leaving the household & moving into shelter or other permanent housing. Emergency transfer plans are created in collaboration with the client who knows their situation best & the safest way to proceed without interrupted subsidy.

1C-5e.	Facilitating Safe Access to Housing and Services for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures households experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have safe access to all of the housing and services available within your CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have access to all housing & services available within the CoC through all three Coordinated Entry (CE) access points. To meet the needs of our entire geographic area, the CoC utilizes street outreach workers & domestic violence advocates in both CoC counties to ensure survivors have access to all housing & services options. Our VSP and Street Outreach are both CE access points, enabling survivors immediate access to the CoC service system. Street Outreach staff often meet clients where they are or wherever they feel the safest and can quickly connect survivors with all housing and service options available within our geographic area. All survivors are informed of & offered services from our VSP but are not required to utilize them to participate in other CoC programs. Client choice is respected. VSP staff are trained on a wide variety of victim services available to meet the individualized needs of survivors at whatever their point in crisis & wherever they are, with priority on client choice. CoC frontline staff receive quarterly DV focused training & at least annual trauma informed care training to ensure that all programs are accessible to survivors, and the VSP provides regular training related to the needs of survivors the CE staff and to the entire CoC. CoC staff are trained to confidentially facilitate safe access and can adapt housing or services plans to meet the needs of survivors. Staff frequently arrange private space to connect with the VSP, facilitate warm handoffs, provide extra staffing support, if desired by the client, and coordinate with the VSP on housing and safety to ensure survivors have safe access to all housing & services available within our CoC's geographic area.

1C-5f.	Identifying and Removing Barriers for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures survivors receive safe housing and services by:
1.	identifying barriers specific to survivors; and
2.	working to remove those barriers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC is committed to proactively identifying barriers for survivors. The CoC has identified that domestic violence victims often face barriers related to social perceptions of DV such as victim-blaming & shame. Particularly in Appalachia, shame can be a driving force in a victim's decision not to seek services. Additionally, the CoC adopted a self-aware approach, which includes an understanding that our services exist within a system that may feel inaccessible to some survivors who have historically experienced discrimination or minimization of their experience such as in the case of communities of color, the LGBTQ+ community, or those living with substance use disorders. 2) The CoC is working to remove barriers for safe housing services faced by survivors. The local VSP, an active CoC member, is dedicated to providing consistent, readily available, & year-round community education on the dynamics of DV that aims to significantly reduce the harm of victim-blaming in our community. Training prioritizes the need for safety planning, confidentiality, & the dangerous nature of domestic violence as a public health concern that could happen to any person of any race, class, or walk of life. The VSP works to identify and cater training to educate the CoC on domestic violence tactics of abuse (such as intentionally outing a person) that these communities may experience that contribute to barriers in access to safe housing and services. Identifying & understanding barriers enables service providers to better respond & ensure low barrier access. The local VSP is responsible for our Staff Training & Development Subcommittee and is in a unique position to create training that educates staff about barriers & how to respond. CoC staff frequently collaborate with the VSP or facilitate warm handoffs directly to VSP to remove barriers and to ensure survivors' needs are met.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+–Anti-Discrimination Policy and Equal Access Trainings.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

1.	Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy–Updating Policies–Assisting Providers–Evaluating Compliance–Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	how your CoC regularly collaborates with LGBTQ+ and other organizations to update its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary to ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and able to meet the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals and families;
2.	how your CoC assisted housing and services providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy;
3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)All CoC and ESG funded agencies utilize the same anti-discrimination policy, which includes provisions to prevent discrimination based on gender identity and ensures equal access. The CoC's governing body, which includes all CoC and ESG-funded agencies, reviews and updates the CoC wide antidiscrimination policy annually and as needed. While reviewing and updating, the CoC governing body seeks input from LQBTQ+ staff and organizations in the community, including the CoC's own Agents of Pride subcommittee. 2)The CoC wide anti-discrimination policy includes provisions to ensure that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination. The CoC provides annual equal access training, additionally inclusivity and person-centered language training are also provided to ensure our policies reflect best practices and current language. A representative from all CoC and ESG funded projects is required to attend. A subcommittee of the CoC, Agents of Pride, is comprised of LGBTQ+ persons and allies from across the CoC. This group reviews project policies and procedures, as well as CoC policies and procedures, and makes recommendations to improve services for LGBTQ+ persons and to prevent discrimination. 3)All CoC and ESG funded programs are required to provide a copy of the CoC wide anti-discrimination policy at intake. Program participants sign the policy to indicate that it has been read, and the policy is maintained in the client file. This practice ensures clients understand their rights and empowers them to report non-compliance. During annual monitoring, compliance with this practice is evaluated. At the CoC level, compliance is evaluated based on the complaints received and the response to those complaints, as well as staff participation in required training. The CoC identifies a minimum of two LGBTQ+ advocates to assist with client-level advocacy and to address noncompliance. 4)Noncompliance with the CoC wide antidiscrimination policy is quickly addressed through the intervention of the CoC's governing body, as well as CoC-appointed advocates. All CoC and ESG programs have a zero-tolerance policy against discrimination and have implemented an easily accessible grievance policy through which all complaints or concerns are addressed without fear of retaliation.

1C-7.	**Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area–New Admissions–General/Limited Preference–Moving On Strategy.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the current CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with–if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing or Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2023 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Huntington West Virginia Housing Authority	45%	Yes-Both	Yes

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference–if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or
2.	state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The Cabell-Huntington Wayne CoC has one PHA within our service area. The PHA, a CoC partner, has an established homeless preference which has been in place for more than 25 years. The CoC works closely with the PHA to ensure that the homeless preference is followed. The PHA not only has a homeless preference but also provides low barrier affordable housing wait lists. These provisions include allowing participants time to obtain required documentation for housing while remaining active on the waiting list. The PHA also provides remote site accessibility for low-income housing applications and additional instructions on how to complete, accessed at our local emergency shelter. The PHA is represented on the CoC's Steering Committee, which is our governing body. The PHA also has representatives in the weekly CoC case management meetings, permanent supportive housing subcommittee, direct-service provider educational meetings, staff development and training subcommittee, and CoC provided trainings. Mingo County HA is in the jurisdiction of the WV Balance of State CoC. 2)Does not apply.

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	Yes
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	Yes
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.	Clients have the option to port to other jurisdictions	Yes

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process:

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	Yes
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	Yes
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	Yes
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	Yes
7.	Public Housing	Yes
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	
	Mod Rehab	Yes

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	No
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1D-1.	Preventing People Transitioning from Public Systems from Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the public systems listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1.	Prisons/Jails?	Yes
2.	Health Care Facilities?	Yes
3.	Residential Care Facilities?	Yes
4.	Foster Care?	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First–Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition.	16
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	16
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

You must upload the Housing First Evaluation attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every project–where the applicant checks Housing First on their project application–to determine if they are using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation;
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of your local CoC competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach; and
4.	what your CoC has done to improve fidelity to Housing First.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The Housing First (HF) Subcommittee is responsible for evaluating project applicants to determine if they are using an HF approach. The CoC developed an assessment to assist in objective evaluation. 2)The CoC adopted a HF Assessment from the US Interagency Council on Homelessness that assesses the following factors: a)access to the program is not contingent on sobriety, minimum income requirements, lack of a criminal record, completion of treatment, participation in services, or other unnecessary conditions; b)the program does everything possible not to reject an individual or family on the basis of poor credit or financial history, poor or lack of rental history, minor criminal convictions, or behaviors that are interpreted as indicating a lack of “housing readiness”; c)if a program cannot serve someone it works through the coordinated entry process to ensure that those individuals or families have access to housing & services elsewhere; d)housing & service goals & plans are highly client-driven; e)supportive services emphasize engagement & problem-solving over therapeutic goals; f)services are informed by a harm-reduction philosophy that recognizes that drug & alcohol use & addiction are a part of some clients’ lives. Clients are engaged in non-judgmental communication regarding drug/alcohol use & are offered education regarding how to avoid risky behaviors & engage in safer practices; & g)every effort is made to provide a client the opportunity to transfer from one housing situation/program to another if a client is in jeopardy. Whenever possible, eviction back into homelessness is avoided. Clients are not exited from the program for multiple evictions. 3) Each CoC program is monitored annually outside of the CoC competition. HUD’s HF Standards Assessment Tool is utilized to assess fidelity & identify areas for improvement. An example of the assessment is attached to this app. Evaluation results are shared w/the CoC’s governing body. 4)The CoC is focused on improving fidelity to the HF model. We host regular training on the HF model & utilize educational & advertising materials that promote the HF philosophy. The CoC Training & Development subcommittee is creating CoC orientation materials; one component is the HF Model. Additionally, CoC programs are monitored at least annually and utilize HUD’s Housing First Standards Assessment Tool. Assessment results are utilized to adjust services to ensure HF fidelity.

1D-3.	Street Outreach–Data–Reaching People Least Likely to Request Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.j.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC tailored its street outreach to people experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Individuals with substance use disorder, severe mental illness, or who are experiencing unsheltered homelessness are least likely to request assistance. Barriers for those experiencing unsheltered homelessness are lack of transportation and lack of ID. Street Outreach (SO) uses the housing first concept to ensure all outreach is person-centered and tailored to individuals least likely to seek assistance. By utilizing trauma informed care & cultural competency, outreach workers attempt to meet every individual where they are regardless of barriers/resistance. To best assist those least likely to request assistance, SO staff provide essential services in the field, including assistance w/applying for housing and mainstream resources, including health care and assistance. Street Outreach (SO) staff have lived experience & work to engage & build rapport through providing basic supplies & supportive conversations, ensuring persons experiencing homelessness are comfortable interacting w/staff & share information about where others are living unsheltered. SO staff meet people where they are for as long as necessary to gain trust & assist in connection to housing. In the last 4 years and to address increased unsheltered homelessness, our CoC expanded SO w/3 additional programs in collaboration w/local government and local university. Most of our SO operates out of our day shelter but spends much of their time in the field, walking the riverbank, visiting abandoned houses, and responding to calls. SO responds to requests from the public, local police and emergency responders, and directly from unsheltered persons. SO providers use the housing first philosophy and can directly house persons. SO provides transportation, bus tickets and purchases birth certificates and ID cards. SO covers 100% of the CoC geographic area, is available 7 days per week and during nontraditional hours, as needed. Every inhabited area of the CoC is visited at least once per month, w/more populated areas, and places where those who are unsheltered are known to reside visited more often. Hiring SO staff from the more rural parts of our CoC has improved collaboration w/local residents and persons living unsheltered.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate your CoC's strategies to prevent the criminalization of homelessness in your CoC's geographic area:

	Your CoC's Strategies	Engaged/Educated Legislators and Policymakers	Implemented Laws/Policies/Practices that Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness
1.	Increase utilization of co-responder responses or social services-led responses over law enforcement responses to people experiencing homelessness?	Yes	Yes
2.	Minimize use of law enforcement to enforce bans on public sleeping, public camping, or carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	No
3.	Avoid imposing criminal sanctions, including fines, fees, and incarceration for public sleeping, public camping, and carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	No
4.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		
	Increased CoC engagement from City Council and the state ACLU to assist in addressing issues surrounding criminalization of homelessness	Yes	No

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) or Longitudinal Data from HMIS.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.l.	

		HIC Longitudinal HMIS Data	2023	2024
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC or the number of households served per longitudinal HMIS data, e.g., APR.	Longitudinal HMIS Data	108	112

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Mainstream Benefits	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI–Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	SSDI–Social Security Disability Insurance	Yes
4.	TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
5.	Substance Use Disorder Programs	Yes
6.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
7.	Other (limit 150 characters)	
	Mental Health Programs	Yes

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	works with projects to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including those that provide substance use disorder treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services, including Medicaid; and
2.	promotes SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC partners w/healthcare & behavioral healthcare service providers to ensure access & to address barriers. Peer recovery support specialists & recovery coaches provide services through street outreach, in our day shelter & drop-in centers, & through home visits once a person is rehoused. These staff are able to assist in quickly accessing inpatient or outpatient SUD TX. Individual/group therapy & crisis intervention are available on-site in all overnight & day shelters. The VSP offers a virtual option, & the day shelter includes recreational therapy. Through a partnership with Valley Health, we have a mental health nurse who assists with connection to care. This partnership ensures healthcare is available onsite at the day shelter. The onsite physician connects those in need of additional care to resources. Additionally, Through a partnership w/the city, a nurse is available at the day shelter & able to do blood draws for testing & HIV med. management. The overnight shelter operates a full-service mental health program that is available onsite. These services are advertised to project staff across the CoC. Healthcare providers are voting members of our CoC & collaborate to address emergent issues. Information re:available services & how to access them is disseminated in monthly CoC & subcommittee meetings attended by project staff. A CoC listserv is maintained to notify & educate program staff of available MR & healthcare/behavioral healthcare. A CoC subcommittee organizes regular training for frontline staff (ie: SSA/SSI/SSDI, Dept. of Rehabilitation Services, SUD treatment providers, WVDHHR, VA, our PHA). 2)CoC-funded agencies employ 8 SOAR-certified staff, with an additional staff currently in the process of becoming certified. SOAR-certified staff both provide direct services to persons experiencing homelessness who are eligible for SSI/SSDI. These staff partner with healthcare providers, & SSA staff to provide training, further streamline the process & educate CoC staff & clients on the SOAR program.

ID-7.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies–Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent the Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to develop CoC-wide policies and procedures that:
1.	respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)Due to the opioid epidemic & COVID-19 pandemic, our CoC partners w/state & local public health agencies to prevent/effectively respond to infectious disease outbreaks. A CoC working group is dedicated to reducing the impact of infectious diseases on persons experiencing homelessness w/reps from healthcare, local health department (HD), local units of government, social services, & local businesses. State & local health agencies advise/recommend strategies/best practices. Recommendations are adopted; CoC policies & procedures related to infectious disease outbreak response are regularly updated. The HD works w/agencies concerning outbreaks at their sites & shares best practices specific to project type. CoC staff are flexible in their response, knowing circumstances/guidance can change quickly. Near constant communication between the HD & lead agency enables quick dissemination of info. The CoC expanded integrated healthcare services to aid in early detection/prevention of infectious diseases, and care is readily accessible thru the day shelter & ES. 2) State & local public health agencies advise the CoC on best practices & recommend strategies related to prevention of outbreak response. Recommendations are adopted; CoC policies & procedures are updated as recommended. The CoC expanded its integrated healthcare services to aid in early detection/prevention of infectious diseases and makes care readily accessible thru the day shelter & ES. The CoC partners w/the HD & FQHC to provide a vaccination program. Vaccines are available for flu, COVID-19, hepatitis A & B, MMR, pneumonia, & monkey pox at shelters, places frequented by unsheltered persons, & PH projects. The CoC offers incentives (via private funding) for the program. The CoC works w/state & local HDs to prevent the spread of HIV. The CoC provides on-demand HIV testing, assists HDs w/contact tracing, & facilitates next day appointments w/an infectious disease specialist for those testing positive. The CoC partners w/the HD to provide PrEP at the day shelter & thru street outreach & provides prevention education/training. The pandemic provided the CoC an opportunity to better educate partners re:chronic health conditions & vulnerabilities of the population we serve, enabling better access to early vaccines & prioritization of the needs of those experiencing homelessness in future public health emergencies. The CoC partners w/the HD to maintain stock of PPE & cleaning supplies in case of shortages.

ID-7a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	effectively shared information related to public health measures and homelessness; and
2.	facilitated communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)CoC leadership has collaborated closely with public health departments and CoC providers regarding the sharing of information related to public health measures and homelessness to reduce stigmatization and reach more persons in target populations. The CoC provides information to providers to ensure they are kept up to date on public health initiatives impacting persons experiencing homelessness. Information is disseminated through the CoC email listserv, CoC subcommittees, and CoC social media. 2)The CoC collaborates with the local health department to create content regarding prevention and limitation of infectious disease outbreaks that can be shared with providers. This information is disseminated through a CoC listserv, CoC and lead agency social media, and through CoC subcommittee meetings. The CoC adopted a strategic, targeted social media approach that utilizes the sharing and interactive features of these platforms with the intention of maximizing informational reach. The CoC lead agency communicates with CoC providers to ensure staff are well informed. During periods of time when PPE and cleaning supplies are difficult to obtain, the local health department has provided these supplies to the CoC to ensure that street outreach, shelter, and housing providers are fully equipped to prevent and limit infectious disease outbreaks. The CoC has facilitated strong partnerships between the local health department and CoC providers. These relationships enable the health department to provide training directly to agency staff when needed and to respond to concerns regarding infectious disease with agency administrators.

1D-8.	Coordinated Entry Standard Processes.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:
1.	can serve everybody regardless of where they are located within your CoC's geographic area;
2.	uses a standardized assessment process to achieve fair, equitable, and equal access to housing and services within your CoC;
3.	collects personal information in a trauma-informed way; and
4.	is updated at least annually using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)Street Outreach (SO) is fully integrated into the CoC's coordinated entry (CE) system, ensuring that every person in our CoC's geographic area can access CE. SO assists w/marketing of CE thru printed materials & signage in places frequented by unsheltered persons across our geographic area. Intakes for CE are conducted by SO in the field, reducing barriers for those who do not frequent provider service locations. The VSP serves as a CE access point, operates an emergency hotline 24/7, & provides access to all persons experiencing homelessness. The CoC educates the community re:CE access & services thru contact w/local government, hospitals, law enforcement, & libraries. Info is available online & thru a variety of printed materials. 2)To achieve fair/equitable/equal access to housing/services, the CoC adopted an assessment tool (VI-SPDAT), utilized w/length of time homeless & sheltered/unsheltered status for prioritization. Staff utilize case conferencing to assist persons unable to complete a VI-SPDAT to ensure access to housing programs. CE staff assess every person who is experiencing homelessness & complete CE intakes. The full SPDAT is used as a case management tool. 3)To ensure personal information is collected in a trauma-informed way, CE staff are trained in Trauma-Informed Care & Motivational Interviewing annually, & the CoC provides regular training on topics like providing trauma-informed services, confidentiality, & safety. The VSP trains Coordinated Entry (CE) staff & helps the CoC develop relevant CE policies & procedures. This ensures that persons are met w/a trauma-informed approach upon entry into services & that CE staff are prepared to assist w/safety planning. Staff adopt an intentionally trauma-informed, warm hand-off approach for all referrals. Intakes are completed at a client-directed pace to help clients feel safe/comfortable. 4)The CE subcommittee meets monthly & has reps from all agencies participating in the CE system & referral agencies, enabling providers a platform to make suggestions & identify barriers. The CoC adopted the TAY-SPDAT due to recommendations from organizations serving unaccompanied youth. The CoC's lead agency operates the CE system & conducts annual focus groups & surveys to collect feedback from persons served. Agency administrators mingle with clients inside the day shelter & on the streets re:their experience & ways to improve CE programming. As a result of feedback, CE & SO hours have been altered.

1D-8a.	Coordinated Entry–Program Participant-Centered Approach.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their needs and preferences; and
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people seeking assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)Populations least likely to apply for homeless assistance in our CoC are persons w/serious mental illness, substance use disorder, or co-occurring disorder and people living in rural areas. Our Street Outreach (SO) team & low-barrier day shelter (DS) build relationships & successfully engage these populations in services. Engagement specialists & SO target persons least likely to apply, building rapport & providing information about programs/services. SO conducts CE intake/assessment in the field because these populations are least likely to access services at provider locations. The CoC hires persons w/lived experience in the SO program & to work as engagement specialists in the DS to increase the comfort level of this population. CE markets through posters/flyers in high-traffic, public locations across the CoC's counties. 2)To prioritize those most in need of assistance, the Housing First subcommittee generates a score based on 3 factors: VI-SPDAT assessment; length of time homeless; & unsheltered status. Agencies participating in prioritization include the PHA, CES program, the VSP, emergency shelters, SSVF & HUD-VASH providers, CoC & non-CoC housing programs, street outreach, behavioral health provider. Broad community participation ensures greater objectivity & increases knowledge for case conferencing. The named prioritization list is reviewed weekly; assignments are made based upon the highest score. Previous assignments are reviewed weekly to ensure timely assistance. Outreach workers & supportive service staff coordinate to locate those not engaged by programs. 3)SO teams assist persons living unsheltered w/the greatest need in completing housing applications in the field. Immediate referrals are made to RRH & PSH after intake/assessment. SO staff assist housing programs' staff to locate/engage people most in need of assistance. Housing programs use a person-centered approach, offering all available options so clients can make decisions based on their preference. 4)To reduce the burden on people seeking assistance, the intake/assessment can be split into multiple parts. Staff are trained in training staff in trauma informed care & safety planning to ensure questions are asked in a trauma-informed manner and to ensure CE & SO staff understand the importance of privacy. Additionally, staff do not seek information outside of the approved, standard intake/assessment.

1D-8b.	Coordinated Entry–Informing Program Participants about Their Rights and Remedies–Reporting Violations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC through its coordinated entry:
1.	affirmatively markets housing and services provided within the CoC's geographic area and ensures it reaches all persons experiencing homelessness;
2.	informs program participants of their rights and remedies available under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws; and
3.	reports any conditions or actions that impede fair housing choice for current or prospective program participants to the jurisdiction(s) responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC affirmatively markets the availability of housing and supportive services to all persons regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identify, sexual orientation, age, familial status, or disability through intentional and targeted outreach utilizing multiple strategies. ESG and CoC funded providers are in continuous and direct contact with local government, hospitals, law enforcement, and library branches to ensure equal and low barrier access. Street Outreach (SO), which is conducted across 100% of the CoC's geographic area, assists with marketing of CE by distributing fliers and hanging signage in places frequented by persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness and in gas stations and grocery stores in rural areas. The victim service provider serves as a CE point of entry and operates an emergency hotline 24/7, which is widely publicized in the community. In an effort to reach as many people as possible, this information is also available through a hub on the lead agency website, fliers and brochures, and on CoC social media. A QR code was added to CoC marketing materials during the last year to increase accessibility. 2) The CoC partners with the American Civil Liberties Union and the City of Huntington's Human Rights Commission to provide education for program participants regarding their rights and remedies available under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws. CoC staff are trained annually on fair housing and equal access and utilize their training to inform program participants of their rights and available remedies. 3) The CoC identified a fair housing advocate responsible for addressing fair housing concerns and formal complaints from current or prospective program participants within our CoC. The Assistant Director of the CoC's lead agency currently serves in this role and is a member of the City of Huntington's Diversity and Inclusion Committee. The advocate reports fair housing complaints to the two con plan jurisdictions associated with our CoC, the City of Huntington, and the State of West Virginia.

1D-9.	**Advancing Racial Equity in Homelessness–Conducting Assessment.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

1.	**Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?**	Yes
2.	**Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.**	06/13/2024

1D-9a.	**Using Data to Determine if Racial Disparities Exist in Your CoC's Provision or Outcomes of CoC Program-Funded Homeless Assistance.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	**the data your CoC used to analyze whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance; and**
2.	**how your CoC analyzed the data to determine whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance.**

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC's governing body requests racial disparity analysis from our consultant annually. Data utilized includes the annual PIT count, focus group questions, and system performance data from the LSA. Elements reviewed include whether or not people of different races or ethnicities are more likely to receive homeless assistance, exits to permanent housing, returns to homelessness, and possible disparities in provision of homeless assistance. The outcome of the Racial Disparity Analysis is presented to both the CoC's governing body and to all CoC stakeholders during a general meeting. The governing body requests suggestions for addressing racial disparities from the CoC subcommittees and in the general meeting. 2) Our CoC consultant created a tool that analyzes system performance metrics as a means of identifying racial disparities. System Performance data is obtained from our yearly LSA submission in HDX 2.0, utilizing the Stella P Module. The consultant prepares an annual Racial Disparity Report that is reviewed by the CoC's governing body and shared in CoC general meetings. The CoC's most recent racial disparity analysis demonstrated that persons of color experience homelessness at a higher percentage than the correlating percentage of our population. There was no disparity in the provision of homeless services or exits to permanent housing, indicating that persons of color are being served in CoC and ESG funded programs and accessing housing programs equitably. The data revealed a disparity in returns to homelessness in every timeframe measured. The majority of persons of color reported that moving/relocating and eviction caused their most recent episode of homelessness.

1D-9b.	Implemented Strategies to Prevent or Eliminate Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to prevent or eliminate racial disparities.

1.	Are your CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies representative of the population served in the CoC?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC identify steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC?	Yes
3.	Is your CoC expanding outreach in your CoC's geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups?	Yes
4.	Does your CoC have communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups?	Yes
5.	Is your CoC training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness?	Yes
6.	Is your CoC establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector?	Yes
7.	Does your CoC have staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness?	Yes
8.	Is your CoC educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity?	Yes
9.	Did your CoC review its coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
10.	Is your CoC collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system?	Yes

11.	**Is your CoC conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness?**	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.	Analysis of focus group data	Yes

1D-9c.	**Plan for Ongoing Evaluation of System-level Processes, Policies, and Procedures for Racial Equity.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's plan for ongoing evaluation of system-level processes, policies, and procedures for racial equity.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC strives to improve racial equity in the provision and outcomes of assistance by creating a culture of dignity and respect for all. The CoC seeks to identify areas of opportunity through regular racial disparity assessments and ongoing conversation focused on what we can do to improve racial equity w/in our system. The CoC governing board works to ensure that we are serving and rehousing persons of color with no disparity in the provision or outcome of homeless assistance. Housing staff are trained to recognize and respond to housing discrimination, ensuring we continue to rehouse persons despite ongoing racial inequities. The CoC has partnered with the WV Coalition to End Homelessness to provide agency specific and CoC wide training on fair housing, with a focus on racial disparity. In an effort to provide ongoing evaluation of system-level processes, policies, and procedures for racial equity, CoC member agencies have taken steps to diversify their staff and to diversify representation in all CoC committees and subcommittees. The NAACP has a standing invitation to partner with the CoC in addressing racial disparity. The CoC seeks to promote empathy and create awareness of biased systems and anti-racist best practices by providing ongoing and regular staff training. Victim services provider (VSP) includes education on intersectionality and the increased risk and types of barriers for communities of color for all staff. VSP regularly incorporates these themes in training and staff development for the entire CoC. The CoC actively seeks client feedback, in an effort to improve services and outcomes. All CoC programs have a zero-tolerance policy against discrimination and have implemented an easily accessible grievance policy through which all complaints or concerns are addressed w/out fear of retaliation. To create a culture of racial equity, the emergency shelter has implemented an ethics policy. Our VA partners require annual training that covers topics such as racial equality, discrimination, and whistleblower protection. Persons of color participate in the prioritization and evaluation committee, which creates and implements policies to objectively review, rate, and rank all project applications for the consolidated CoC NOFO application. To address the disparity in returns to homelessness for persons of color, CoC agencies regularly facilitate fair housing campaigns targeted to landlords.

1D-9d.	**Plan for Using Data to Track Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Racial Disparities.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	**the measures your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and**

2.	the tools your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC's governing body reviews racial disparity data quarterly utilizing APR and LSA data Specific measures that are reviewed include the numbers and percentages served, exits to permanent housing, average length of time to housing move-in, and returns to homelessness (within 2 years). The report also includes PIT survey data provided by persons of color, which identifies the reason for the most recent episode of homelessness. This information is conveyed to the CoC at large through the CoC general meeting and the Housing First and Frontline subcommittees. This ensures CoC staff are informed of racial disparities and are prepared to confront them in practice. The CoC is specifically concerned about existing disparities in returns to homelessness. The CoC provides annual racial equity and fair housing training to staff so that they are better equipped to respond to discrimination. The CoC partners with advocates at Legal Aid WV and the ACLU of WV as well as local pro bono attorneys to address fair housing violations. The CoC is partnering with the WV Coalition to End Homelessness to provide fair housing training to landlords in our CoC. 2)Our CoC consultant created a tool that analyzes system performance metrics as a means of identifying racial disparities. System Performance data is obtained from our yearly LSA submission in HDX 2.0, utilizing the Stella P Module. The consultant prepares a yearly Racial Disparity Report that is reviewed by the CoC's governing body and shared in CoC general meetings. Specific metrics that are reviewed include: the numbers and percentages served, exits to permanent housing, average length of time to housing move-in, and returns to homelessness (within 2 years). The report also includes PIT survey data provided by persons of color, which identifies the reason for the most recent episode of homelessness. The CoC's governing body reviews quarterly APR data, broken down by project, and compares the percentage of persons of color served with the percentage of persons of color represented in our local population.

1D-10.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking–CoC's Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decisionmaking processes.

(limit 2,500 characters)

CoC-funded organizations post all hiring opportunities on Indeed and social media. Applicants are advised of accessible hiring opportunities with a preference for those with lived experience. The CoC lead agency frequently utilizes social media to post stories of lived experience to create a culture of shared success and opportunity. To engage persons with lived experience of homelessness in decision-making processes and leadership roles, the CoC utilizes targeted outreach. Persons with lived experience are included on the Prioritization and Evaluation subcommittee for the annual NOFO, the CoC's governing body, and the boards of directors of all CoC and ESG funded agencies. CoC agencies prioritize persons with lived experience of homelessness when hiring, in an attempt to recruit persons with lived experience to fill open positions. These individuals frequently emerge as leaders within the CoC, chairing subcommittees, and making recommendations to better meet the needs of persons experiencing homelessness.

1D-10a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

You must upload the Lived Experience Support Letter attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the four categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Routinely included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	19	25
2.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	21	33
3.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	7	10
4.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's coordinated entry process.	16	18

1D-10b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

CoC membership organizations prioritize the recruitment & hiring of persons with lived experience of homelessness. This practice was adopted because we recognize the value of persons with lived experience to our programs & service provision, especially concerning rapport & trust building, but also because persons with lived experience tend to experience discrimination in employment elsewhere. The CoC lead agency provides assistance to organizations both inside & outside of the CoC to encourage the hiring of persons with lived experience of homelessness & to develop workplace practices that support them. One method of providing professional development is that the Training & Development Committee provides ongoing opportunities for training in reducing barriers to services, operating & leading subcommittee & work groups, as well as providing services for culturally specific communities. The CoC also works with community partners to offer regular training opportunities that support professional development on topics such as advocacy, case management, mindfulness, first aid, Narcan administration. These training opportunities empower staff to advance their careers. Persons with lived experience of homelessness are encouraged to take on leadership roles within the CoC & are regularly promoted into supervisory roles. In the past year, a person with lived experience of homelessness has been named as the lead agency's assistant director. 40% of the CoC's VSP leadership is comprised of persons with lived experience. More than 55% of the lead agency staff, & 63% of the lead agency's leadership team have lived experience. The largest ES in our CoC has incorporated persons with lived experience into 100% of shelter's case management positions, & 50% of non-VSP emergency shelter staff have lived experience.

1D-10c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
2.	how often your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
3.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people who received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program;
4.	how often your CoC gathers feedback from people who have received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program; and
5.	steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC partners with the social work department of the local university to conduct an annual, in-person focus group consisting of persons currently experiencing homelessness. Persons with lived experience of homelessness help to compose focus group questions. Persons currently experiencing homelessness and with lived experience of homelessness are included in CoC wide strategic planning. 2) The focus group takes place at least twice annually. 3)CoC and ESG funded programs conduct paper surveys biannually and volunteers, from outside of the CoC, provide assistance to respondents, if needed. The VSP provides exit surveys to all program participants. Focus groups and surveys consist of questions relating to program participants' experience receiving assistance, as well as questions relating to reasons for homelessness and barriers to permanent housing. 4)Surveys are conducted biannually, and VSP exit surveys are conducted as clients exit the ESG or CoC program. 5)CoC staff value feedback and suggestions from persons currently experiencing homelessness as well as those with lived experience. Feedback received at the CoC level is reviewed by the CoC's governing body and addressed, when possible, through changes in CoC policy, additional staff training, and with the addition of new programming and services. Feedback received regarding specific programs is addressed at the agency level, with CoC level intervention as needed. During our most recent strategic planning process, persons currently experiencing homelessness reported that our CoC needed to expand street outreach and adjust street outreach strategies. Since that time, the CoC has added ESG, RHY, and privately funded street outreach programs. Specific strategies suggested by persons experiencing homelessness were implemented. In addition to expansion of programs and services due to client feedback, agencies also respond to requests to adjust service delivery. Lead agency RRH adjusted protocols for rental assistance provision program wide as a result of feedback from persons currently enrolled and exiting the program. The VSP adapts meeting times for support groups to accommodate client requests and provides a virtual option to increase accessibility. Our RHY funded youth drop-in center frequently adjusts hours and offers special programming and events based on feedback from unaccompanied youth experiencing homelessness.

1D-11.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.s.	

	Describe in the field below at least two steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months to engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:
1.	reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and
2.	reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC lead agency initiated a conversation with local government to address increases in homelessness in our community and barriers to the development of affordable housing. Directors of CoC agencies met with stakeholders to create an outline of steps to address concerns. CoC staff attend city council meetings, including meetings pertaining to zoning and planning. Over the past year, city council members became active in CoC General Meetings and in CoC subcommittees, working groups, and networking events. With their support, the City of Huntington has rezoned lots formerly zoned for single family dwellings into multifamily units. CoC agency staff collaborate with landlords to maintain existing units and increase availability of affordable housing options. Over the past year, the PHA has added 83 new landlords which is integral to increasing affordable housing supply. The CoC is partnering with Religious Coalition for Community Renewal to apply for funds via the CoCBuilds competition. These funds would enable us to add new 20 PSH units in our geographic area through new construction and acquisition. 2) Over the past year, city council members became active in CoC General Meetings and in CoC subcommittees, working groups, and networking events. Most of the City of Huntington is zoned for single family dwellings. With the support of city council members, the City has rezoned lots formerly zoned for single family dwellings into multifamily units.

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	**Web Posting of Advance Public Notice of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline, Scoring and Rating Criteria.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a. and 2.g.	

1.	**Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for New Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC's local competition.**	08/16/2024
2.	**Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for Renewal Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC's local competition.**	08/16/2024

1E-2.	**Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., 2.d., and 2.e.	

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	**Established total points available for each project application type.**	Yes
2.	**At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).**	Yes
3.	**At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).**	Yes
4.	**Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.**	Yes
5.	**Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.**	Yes

6.	Provided points for projects based on the degree the projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	Yes

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	125
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	17
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-PSH

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.d.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	the severe barriers your CoC considered.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC collected performance data regarding successful permanent housing placements through HMIS (or comparable database), utilizing Annual Performance Report (APR) and system performance reports for each project applicant for the project's last operating year. This data was provided to the CoC's Prioritization and Evaluation (P&E) subcommittee. Participants of the P&E subcommittee are members of the community, persons that work in the CoC but in programs not funded by CoC or ESG programs. The committee has representation from a person with recent lived experience of unsheltered homelessness. 2)The CoC collected performance data regarding average length of time to housing move-in through HMIS, specifically using APR data for each renewal project applicant. This data was provided to the CoC's Prioritization and Evaluation (P&E) subcommittee. Full points were awarded to projects who placed participants in housing within 30 days. 3)The CoC's governing body identifies annual priority subpopulations. The following severity of needs and vulnerabilities were considered this year: persons living unsheltered, persons living with SUD or SMI, persons fleeing DV, persons experiencing chronic homelessness, and persons of different races and ethnicities. These subpopulations were selected based on recent PIT data results. The P&E subcommittee assigned additional points to projects prioritizing housing and services to the hardest to serve populations, even though it could result in lower performance. 4) Additional points for serving those with severe services barriers were available during the project application ranking process based upon the percentage of persons falling into the above listed vulnerable categories who were served in that project. Barriers considered were the percentages served who were experiencing unsheltered homelessness, fleeing domestic violence, living with SUD and/or SMI, experiencing chronic homelessness, and were people of different races or ethnic backgrounds. The outcome of this practice was that projects serving the hardest to serve populations were not penalized for lower performance levels. Additionally, bonus points were available this year to project applicants who identified barriers to project participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local population and identified steps that they have taken or will take to eliminate those barriers.

1E-3.	**Advancing Racial Equity through Participation of Over-Represented Populations in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.e.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	**how your CoC used input from persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, to determine the rating factors used to review project applications;**
2.	**how your CoC included persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population in the review, selection, and ranking process; and**
3.	**how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree that proposed projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and steps the projects took or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.**

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Persons of color experience homelessness at a higher rate than the correlating percentage of our population in our CoC's geographic area. While 2020 US Census data indicates that 3.6% of residents in Cabell and Wayne Counties identify as Black or African American, in FY23, 9% of clients served by our CoC identified as Black or African American, indicating that Black or African American individuals are more likely to experience homelessness than White individuals within our CoC's geographic area. The Prioritization and Evaluation subcommittee (the group responsible for determining the ranking factors used to review project applications and for reviewing and ranking projects for the priority listing) has 20% representation from persons of different races and ethnicities. 2) 20% of members who served on the Prioritization and Evaluation subcommittee (the group responsible for determining the ranking factors used to review project applications and for reviewing and ranking projects for the priority listing) were persons of different races and ethnicities. Due to input from persons of different races and ethnicities, the Ranking Tools utilized for ranking project applications for the CoC's Priority Listing awarded bonus points for projects serving persons of different races and ethnicities at the same or at a greater rate than which they become homeless in our community. Additionally, bonus points were available this year to project applicants who identified barriers to project participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those overrepresented in the local population, and identified steps that they have taken or will take to eliminate those barriers. 3) The CoC Ranking Tools include bonus points available to project applicants who identified barriers to project participation faced by person of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local population and identified steps that they have taken or will take to eliminate those barriers. Responses were reviewed and discussed by the committee. These additional points, focused on racial equity, enabled a possible 12% increase in a project's final ranking score.

1E-4.	Reallocation–Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;
2.	whether your CoC identified any low performing or less needed projects through the process described in element 1 of this question during your CoC's local competition this year;
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)Once the NOFO has been announced, the Steering Committee discusses any voluntary cuts through project elimination or decreasing funding to a project. Reallocation considerations include adherence to the housing first philosophy, change in priorities, or project administrators who recognize funding may be better suited for other high priority projects. The steering committee reviews project performance & spending monthly. If a project is low performing & has not shown improvement after the provision of technical assistance, the committee will vote to involuntarily reallocate. Consensus is sought among the Steering Committee to agree upon project funding cuts prior to the meeting of the Prioritization and Evaluation Committee (P&E). Recommendations are made to P&E, and the P&E subcommittee has the choice to accept or reject these reallocations of funding, based on the CoC priorities & project performance of other submitted applications. 2) The CoC did not identify projects for reallocation this year. 3) The CoC did not identify projects for reallocation this year. 4) The CoC continuously monitors & adjusts to ensure projects perform well. The CoC governing body believes all existing projects are needed to produce continued improvements in system performance. Our active & ongoing review of performance in monthly Steering Committee meetings enabled us to identify & address weaknesses quickly. Projects often show improvement without a need to reallocate, providing greater stability to our system. Historically, we have reallocated projects to create other project types to meet current needs in our CoC. In the past, we reallocated a TH project to create an RRH project, and an excess of HMIS funding was reallocated to create a CE bonus project. Last year, we reallocated some PSH funding to create a new TH-RRH project.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2019 and FY 2024.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2019 and FY 2024?	No

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	No
2.	Did your CoC reduce funding for any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	No
3.	Did your CoC inform applicants why your CoC rejected or reduced their project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	No
4.	If you selected Yes for element 1 or element 2 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	10/04/2024

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Selection Results attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Project Names; 2. Project Scores; 3. Project Status–Accepted, Rejected, Reduced Reallocated, Fully Reallocated; 4. Project Rank; 5. Amount Requested from HUD; and 6. Reallocated Funds +/-.	Yes

1E-5c.	Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application 2 Days Before CoC Program Competition Application Submission Deadline.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g. and 24 CFR 578.95.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC's website or partner's website–which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	10/23/2024

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders by Email that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application was posted on your CoC's website or partner's website.	10/23/2024

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Wellsky

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Statewide

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 HIC data into HDX.	05/02/2024

2A-4.	Comparable Databases for DV Providers–CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in HMIS comparable databases; and
2.	state whether DV housing and service providers in your CoC are using a HUD-compliant comparable database–compliant with the FY 2024 HMIS Data Standards.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)BDVS, the only DV provider within our CoC, utilizes Empowered DB, an HMIS comparable database which is compliant with the FY 2024 HMIS Data Standards. Aggregate, non-identifiable data is shared with the CoC's governing body monthly. 2) The DV provider utilizes Empowered DB, a HUD-compliant, HMIS comparable database which is compliant with the FY 2024 HMIS Data Standards.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate–Using HIC, HMIS Data–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c. and V.B.7.	

Using the 2024 HDX Competition Report we issued your CoC, enter data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current Non-VSP Beds [Column F of HDX Report]	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds [Column K of HDX Report]	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database [Column M of HDX Report]	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate [Column O of HDX Report]
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	190	22	177	83.49%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	8	0	8	100.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	0	0	0	100.00%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	80	68	80	54.05%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	367	0	361	98.37%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	98	0	90	91.84%

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c.	

	For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:
1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)Our bed coverage rate for all project types is over 90%. However, there is an error in our HIC and HDX Competition Report. 100% of RRH beds that operate within our CoC utilize HMIS or the VSP's HMIS Comparable Data (compliant with the FY24 HMIS Data Standards). 93.9% of ES beds that operate within our CoC utilize HMIS or a Comparable Data Database. The RRH and ES beds operated by our VSP utilize an HMIS Comparable Database, and this was not selected in the dropdowns for these projects in HDX 2.0. This will be corrected during our next data submission. Utilizing the HDX 2.0 platform for the first time in 2024 was a learning experience, and we did not realize the error until the HDX Competition Report was released after the CoC Competition opened, and it was too late to correct. 2)Our bed coverage rate for all project types is over 90%. However, there is an error in our HIC and HDX Competition Report. 100% of RRH beds that operate within our CoC utilize HMIS or the VSP's HMIS Comparable Data (compliant with the FY24 HMIS Data Standards). 93.9% of ES beds that operate within our CoC utilize HMIS or a Comparable Data Database. The RRH and ES beds operated by our VSP utilize an HMIS Comparable Database, and this was not selected in the dropdowns for these projects in HMIS 2.0. This will be corrected during our next data submission. Utilizing the HDX 2.0 platform for the first time in 2024 was a learning experience, and we did not realize the error until the HDX Competition Report was released after the CoC Competition opened, and it was too late to correct.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.d.	
	You must upload your CoC's FY 2024 HDX Competition Report to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

Did your CoC submit at least two usable LSA data files to HUD in HDX 2.0 by January 24, 2024, 11:59 p.m. EST?	Yes

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	**PIT Count Date.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2024 PIT count.	01/23/2024

2B-2.	**PIT Count Data–HDX Submission Date.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 PIT count data in HDX.	05/02/2024

2B-3.	**PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth in Your CoC's Most Recent Unsheltered PIT Count.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	**engaged unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations in your CoC's most recent PIT count planning process;**
2.	**worked with unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified during your CoC's most recent PIT count planning process; and**
3.	**included youth experiencing homelessness as counters during your CoC's most recent unsheltered PIT count.**

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) As a result of the ongoing relationship with the CoC, unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations were active in the planning, training, and implementation of the PIT count. The McKinney-Vento homeless education liaison closely collaborates with the CoC and actively participates in the planning and implementation of the PIT. The RHY funded street outreach team was especially critical to PIT planning and assisted the PIT subcommittee in involving additional unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations. 2)To better identify youth experiencing unsheltered homelessness, staff of youth serving organizations including youth street outreach participated in the count and helped direct counters CoC wide to places where youth congregate. Youth experiencing homelessness provided information to staff in youth serving organizations that included specific locations of camps where youth experiencing unsheltered homelessness were residing. The person who organized the PIT for the CoC has lived experience with unsheltered homelessness and youth homelessness. 3)Youth currently experiencing homelessness and persons with lived experience of youth homelessness participated as counters in the 2024 PIT count and provided information to the PIT planning subcommittee that helped to select locations where youth experiencing homelessness are most likely to be identified. The RHY funded street outreach team conducted canvassing in advance of the PIT, a practice which informed decision-making regarding areas of closer concentration. The CoC's McKinney Vento homeless education liaison engaged school system bus drivers to observe youth exiting buses and identified those who may be homeless. The liaison also consulted with school counselors who have knowledge of youth experiencing homelessness and their whereabouts. Over the last year, all CoC subcommittees and providers at every level placed an emphasis on identifying and locating youth experiencing homelessness. As a result, during this year's count, those counting were better prepared with knowledge of where youth congregate.

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.a and V.B.7.c.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;
2.	describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;
3.	describe whether your CoC's PIT count was affected by people displaced either from a natural disaster or seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance who recently arrived in your CoCs' geographic; and
4.	describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or
5.	state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2024.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)There were no changes to our sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024. 2)There were no changes to our unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024. 3) Our CoC's 2024 PIT count was not affected by people displaced either from a natural disaster or seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance who recently arrived in our CoCs' geographic area. 4) Not applicable. 5) Not applicable.

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	**Reducing the Number of First Time Homeless–Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b.	

	In the field below:
1.	**describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;**
2.	**describe your CoC's strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and**
3.	**provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time**

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC's process for identifying risk factors relies heavily on information from persons experiencing homelessness. Prevention and diversion tools utilized by Coordinated Entry (CE), a question added to our PIT count, and an annual focus group with persons currently experiencing homelessness provide data. During the 2024 PIT count, 66% of PIT participants reported living with a substance use disorder (SUD), and respondents most often reported substance use as the primary reason for their current episode of homelessness. Staff, many of whom have lived experience, are trained to provide individualized case management and relationship building with those experiencing homelessness which allows unique opportunities for first-hand knowledge of risk factors. Substance use often results in nonpayment of rent and utilities, breakdown of household composition, and escalation of domestic violence. This info is correlated with data derived from formerly homeless persons currently employed by CoC & ESG funded programs. 2)CE is key to diversion for first time homelessness. CE links to preventive resources including utilities assistance, referrals for food, medical services, SUD treatment, and victim services. CE supportive services staff work to remove barriers to housing stability for categories 2, 3, and 4. Harmony House operates an ESG-RRH program with funding for prevention and refers those at risk to additional community resources. CE staff partner with Information and Referral services to assist households in accessing prevention rental assistance through the local community action council. This program has prevented first time homelessness. Staff from local jails, hospitals and treatment centers participate in our CE subcommittee which provides education on homeless programs/community resources and collaborates on the reduction of discharges to homelessness. Improved collaboration with social workers in local hospitals has enabled us to provide context from persons with lived experience that has reduced improper discharges. DV is a leading cause of first-time homelessness in our community. VSP conducts highly visible prevention activities throughout our CoC coverage area. 3) Prevention of first-time homelessness is a coordinated effort of CoC and non-CoC agencies. The Steering Committee, the CoC's governing board, is responsible for overseeing the strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time.

2C-1a.	**Impact of Displaced Persons on Number of First Time Homeless.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b	

	Was your CoC's Number of First Time Homeless [metric 5.2] affected by the number of persons seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance displaced due to:

1.	**natural disasters?**	No
2.	**having recently arrived in your CoC's geographic area?**	No

2C-2.	**Reducing Length of Time Homeless–CoC's Strategy.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.c.	

	In the field below:
1.	**describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;**

2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)As a primary strategy to address length of time (LOT) homeless, the CoC is improving street outreach (SO) implementation. 3 SO programs assist in accessing housing resources, including a collaboration with the local university & the City of Huntington to target persons living unsheltered & w/SUD. The CoC's State Opioid Response Supportive Housing program focuses on outreach & providing housing to those living w/SUD & HIV. This population has longest LOT homeless & needs targeted services. The CoC worked w/local government, creating a crisis intervention team that partners w/CoC SO programs. The CoC added a RRH program & TH-RRH that operate out of the ES. CoC partners collaborate on a SO & youth drop-in center program, ensuring youth are quickly identified & rehoused. Our lead agency operates a HOPWA program to address an unprecedented HIV cluster, comprised largely of unsheltered persons (dually diagnosed & using IV drugs). The subcommittee maintaining the by-name list meets weekly & utilizes personalized strategies according to client need. To identify those most in need, our CoC utilizes a multi-faceted approach: VI-SPDAT scores, LOT homeless & unsheltered status. In 2023, the CoC implemented measures to expand opportunities for those identified as experiencing chronic homelessness during the annual PIT count to be permanently housed ASAP. The by-name chronic list is reviewed in weekly Housing First meetings, & partners create individualized action plans & a mechanism for accountability. 2)LOT homeless is considered for prioritization to identify/quickly house those w/longest LOT homeless. SO teams collaborate w/our supportive housing teams to identify those unsheltered w/longest LOT homeless & assist housing teams w/engagement. The CoC utilizes RRH to bridge unsheltered persons w/longest LOT homeless to PSH when vouchers are unavailable. ES collaborates w/subcommittee managing the named prioritization list & RRH program staff to ensure persons are connected w/services & housing progress is monitored. ES & day shelter launched behavioral healthcare programs on-site to address the needs of those living with SMI, which can lead to longer LOT homeless. Day shelter has healthcare staff that assist w/required documentation for PSH. 3)The Steering Committee, the CoC's governing body, provides oversight for the CoC strategy to reduce LOT individuals/families remain homeless. Sys PM is reviewed monthly; issues are identified; strategies are adjusted.

2C-3.	Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention –CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.d.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)To more effectively prioritize & refer to programs best meeting client needs & to increase exits to permanent housing (PH), we have fully integrated street outreach (SO) into the CE system. SO staff complete applications for housing/mainstream resources w/persons living unsheltered & provide warm handoffs to healthcare/behavioral healthcare providers, improving outcomes once persons are transitioned into ES, TH & RRH. ES has a comprehensive behavioral health program, increasing the odds of PH exits. We expanded an intensive supportive services program focused on persons w/SUD. The lead agency implemented a healthcare navigation program & harm reduction program to improve outcomes. Most staff have lived experience helping us better address barriers to PH exits. Our RRH programs, many of which are peer run, provide intensive supportive services to increase successful exits to PH. The transient nature of those experiencing SUD impacts exits to PH. The CoC collaborates w/treatment & harm reduction programs to create strategies to better serve this population. The CoC trains staff on innovative, community SUD programs. Due to an ongoing increase in those experiencing SUD, a strategy is in place to educate & share best practices w/staff to ensure more successful exits to PH. Access to behavioral health is available thru our ES & day shelter, which serves unsheltered persons. We partner w/our local university & behavioral health providers to facilitate education & training to staff, which empowers them to better meet client needs. 2)In addition to the strategies listed above, the CoC focuses on improving supportive services systemwide (additional training & accountability measures). The homeless coalition implemented an eviction prevention strategy focused on SUD/SMI & associated tenancy issues. Our PHA housing programs offer a choice of treatment as an alternative to eviction or voucher termination. PHA & CoC lead agency each have MOUs w/healthcare providers, providing in-home primary care to PSH participants & tenants in project-based housing. Agencies collaborate w/recovery programs to provide optional MAT treatment including recovery coaching for persons in PSH & RRH programs & project-based housing. The MAT program includes transportation & access to telehealth. 3)The CoC's governing body provides oversight for the CoC strategy for exits to & retention of PH. Sys PM is reviewed monthly. Issues are identified, & strategies are adjusted, as needed.

2C-4.	Reducing Returns to Homelessness–CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.e.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate that individuals and families return to homelessness; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC uses HMIS generated APR & Sys PM reports (reviewed monthly), information collected at entry, the PIT count, & focus group results to identify individuals & persons in families who return to homelessness. 2) The CoC has a multitiered strategy to address returns to homelessness. Our PHA offers a diversion option, rather than termination, for those experiencing SUD and continues assistance for up to 6-months while clients receive behavioral health treatment or medical assistance. In addition to frontline staff education & training, our local DV provider coordinates w/staff to offer trauma responsive services that better meet the needs of those fleeing DV, further establishing housing stability. The CoC partners w/a local university to provide training to staff to better equip them to address the needs of persons who have experienced trauma, those living in deep poverty, & those experiencing serious mental illness. CoC agency payee programs provide stabilization for formerly homeless people which has demonstrably reduced returns to homelessness. The Housing First Committee (HF) prioritizes for services and housing utilizing a process that enables us to match client need w/housing intervention. HF identifies client needs, including potential housing loss. The PHA is a member of HF & brings attention to those at-risk of evictions leading to problem-solving efforts. Frontline staff & the PHA maintain strong relationships w/landlords, which prevents eviction. CE staff work closely with information and referral services to ensure persons at risk of returning homelessness can access emergency rental assistance. The CoC partners with the ACLU and other fair housing advocates to educate tenants about their rights and to prevent illegal evictions. The CoC has partnered with healthcare and behavioral healthcare providers to offer primary care and recovery services in-home, which improves housing stability and reduces returns to homelessness. Recently, VSP staff met with city council members to address barriers in the current eviction policies related to domestic violence survivors. 3)The CoC’s governing body reviews Sys PM reports monthly, monitors our rate of returns to homelessness, and creates strategies to reduce our returns to homelessness & is responsible for oversight of the CoC’s strategy to reduce the rate of returns to homelessness.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income–CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their employment cash income; and
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC Development and Training Committee will increase staff opportunities and training for connecting with workforce and education related programs, as part of our strategy to increase employment income. The CoC partners with workforce development and employment programs targeted to persons experiencing substance use disorder. CoC staff are provided training on how to access and make referrals to these programs, and housed clients are referred and offered support in their participation. CoC programs have built relationships with & provided education to hiring managers & business owners, increasing willingness to employ persons experiencing homelessness. Partners, including Catholic Charities, Goodwill, DRS, & Mountain State Centers for Independent Living, Tri-State Literacy Council, and Dress for Success and Hire Attire to provide employment support. The PHA's step up program facilitates paid job training, often leading to permanent employment. All persons entering our system are referred to Workforce WV. CoC agencies organize regular job fairs, where clients engage with employers. Case managers increase access to employment by improving marketability, interviewing skills, and job readiness. CoC staff educate the community to reduce stigma, a barrier to employment. Multiple CoC programs provide transportation to job interviews & work until the client is paid. 2) The CoC provides community training targeted to potential employers that is designed to reduce stigma and increase safety in the workplace for those experiencing homelessness. CoC agencies refer to & serve as training sites for the WV Division of Vocational Rehabilitation Services & Title V (near elderly employment program). Individuals are referred & provided transportation to Workforce WV and the VA. The day shelter partners w/a state initiative to provide employment support to persons with SMI/SUD through the Jobs in Hope program. The DV shelter provides education to employers on barriers experienced by persons fleeing DV and for how employers can respond to DV in the workplace, which increases the likelihood of employee retention. 3) The CoC's governing body oversees the CoC's strategy to increase job & income growth.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income–CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and
2.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)CoC agencies partner with DHHR to assist persons in accessing benefits. The overnight shelter & DV shelter utilize case management services to increase access to mainstream benefits, which includes non-employment cash income. Expansion of street outreach has enabled the CoC to provide assistance to persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness in accessing non-employment cash income. The CoC has improved training & information sharing across our system on the importance of non-employment cash income to housing stability, leading to increased efforts by direct service staff in assisting clients in increasing this income source. CoC-funded agencies employ 8 SOAR-certified staff, with an additional staff currently in the process of becoming certified. SOAR-certified staff both provide direct services to persons experiencing homelessness who are eligible for SSI/SSDI. These staff partner with healthcare providers, & SSA staff to provide training, further streamline the process & educate CoC staff & clients on the SOAR program. The DV shelter has two SOAR certified case managers & three SOAR certified advocates who assist clients with applications for mainstream benefits. SSA staff participate in CoC general direct service provider meetings, providing critical information that enables staff to assist clients in accessing SSI/SSDI. A barrier to access is a lack of available payees. CoC agencies have increased the numbers of clients served in payee programs & have partnered with the local SSA office to increase the number of institutional payees available in the community. The homeless coalition provides transportation to DHHR for all persons experiencing homelessness. Supportive housing teams provide transportation & assist with collecting eligibility documentation, completing paperwork, & annual recertification for non-employment cash income. Teams also connect to payee services & assist clients in accessing child support. DV provider, street outreach teams, & CoC housing teams assist clients in online applications for mainstream benefits. The day shelter provides mail service to unsheltered persons enabling them to receive required documents. 2)The CoC’s governing body oversees the CoC’s strategy to increase non-employment cash income.

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	**New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes

3A-2.	**New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes

3A-3.	**Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.**	
	NOFO Sections V.B.6.a. and V.B.6.b.	

If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
Emergency Shelter...	PH-RRH	18	Both
Safe Quarters TH-...	Joint TH-RRH	19	Both

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project?	Emergency Shelter RRH 2024 Expansion
2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI):	H42VPHCTXD61
3. Select the new project type:	PH-RRH
4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing:	18
5. Select the type of leverage:	Both

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project?	Safe Quarters TH-TTH 2024 Expansion
2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI):	H42VPHCTXD61
3. Select the new project type:	Joint TH-RRH
4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing:	19
5. Select the type of leverage:	Both

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting $200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

	If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:
1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not applicable.

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	**Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serve Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.**	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No

3C-2.	**Cost Effectiveness of Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.**	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

	You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
	If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:
1.	**how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and**
2.	**how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.**

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not applicable.

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants for New DV Bonus Funding

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	**New DV Bonus Project Applicants.**	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?	Yes

4A-1a.	**DV Bonus Project Types.**	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the type(s) of new DV Bonus project(s) your CoC included in its FY 2024 Priority Listing.

	Project Type	
1.	SSO Coordinated Entry	Yes
2.	PH-RRH or Joint TH and PH-RRH Component	No

You must click "Save" after selecting Yes for element 2 PH-RRH or Joint TH/RRH Component to view questions 4A-3b. through 4A-3h.

4A-2.	**Information About the Project Applicant for the New Support Services Only Coordinated Entry (SSO-CE) DV Bonus Project.**	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(3)	

Enter in the chart below information about the project applicant that applied for the new SSO-CE DV Bonus project:

1.	**Applicant Name**	Branches Domestic Violence Shelter
2.	**Project Name**	C/E DV Bonus 2024

4A-2a.	**Addressing Coordinated Entry Inadequacies through the New SSO-CE DV Bonus Project.**	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(3)(c)	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	**the inadequacies of your CoC's current Coordinated Entry that limits its ability to better meet the needs of survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking; and**
2.	**how the proposed project addresses inadequacies identified in element 1 of this question.**

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)Since 2019, annual PIT data demonstrates an 82% increase in the number of people who report they are fleeing DV within our CoC. Currently, Coordinated Entry (CE) is limited in the services provided for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking at all operating CE access points since the VSP is the only CE access point that operates during traditional business hours. There is a gap in addressing the co-occurring experiences of violence & homelessness during non-traditional hours. To better meet the needs of survivors, it is vital that access to the CE system is available 24/7. 24/7 access enables survivors to immediately access the system whenever the need arises. Also, while every program within the CoC is compliant with CE, there is a proven need for more trauma informed staff members to facilitate navigation of the system after entry. This inadequacy is further worsened w/limited staffing or turnover commonly seen within the service provider system. Navigation becomes further difficult when the designated VSP's emergency shelter is at or over capacity or relocation for safety purposes is needed immediately. 2)The proposed project will provide 24-hour access to CE through a phone-based access point operated by the VSP to better serve survivors. Given that individuals will first seek assistance through this method & that the physical operation site of the VSP is kept private from public knowledge these services can be safely sought away from an abusive person. This additional specialist will ensure that our CE system better meets the needs of victims, maintains confidentiality, reduces trauma, & increases victims' access to available housing resources, & provides diversion with a focus on safety planning. This specialist will have unique access to additional funding sources which accommodate victims' housing needs & can assist w/relocation costs. The specialist will also have access to a statewide network of licensed DV providers & will be required to maintain DV advocacy certification. This new position will facilitate access to housing support & services for survivors seeking assistance & will strengthen our efforts to end homelessness for people who are victims & survivors of trauma. Since the VSP serves as one of the CoC's CE access points, the hotline & the staff supported through this proposal will also serve those experiencing homelessness who are not actively fleeing DV, by providing access to CE & CoC services.

4A-2b.	**Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation in the New SSO-CE DV Bonus Project.**	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(3)(d)	

	Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors:
1.	with a range of lived expertise; and
2.	in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The proposed project will involve both long term & recent survivors with a broad range of lived expertise in various ways across the development of the program. Survivors who have co-experienced homelessness are currently represented & lend their experience & skills as board members on the VSP board of directors. The VSP also actively recruits survivors as part of their standard hiring best practices & policies. In addition, those with lived experience are often invited to participate in program evaluation through post service surveys. 2)This new project will enhance the way that survivors are currently involved in program development & the creation of relevant program policy by inviting their participation in focused feedback on the ease of access through the CE program &/or how survivors can utilize their skills & lived experience to recognize & remedy barriers to service. This project would mandate the inclusion of a survivor on the CE subcommittee moving forward, as well as advertise, promote, & recruit the position opening with a special interest in hiring someone with this lived experience.

Applicant Name
This list contains no items

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

	We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:
1.	You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
2.	You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
3.	We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported–please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
4.	Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
5.	Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed–including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
6.	If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.
	. We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
	. We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
7.	After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.
8.	Only use the "Other" attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	PHA Homeless Pref...	10/23/2024
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No	PHA Moving On Pre...	10/23/2024
1D-10a. Lived Experience Support Letter	Yes	Lived Experience ...	10/23/2024
1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation	Yes	Housing Firs	10/23/2024
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	10/23/2024
1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project	Yes	Scored Forms for ...	10/23/2024
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Notification of P...	10/23/2024
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of P...	10/23/2024
1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results	Yes	Local Competition...	10/23/2024
1E-5c. Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		

2A-6. HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report	Yes	HDX Competition R...	10/23/2024
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No	Housing Leveragin...	10/23/2024
3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No	Healthcare Formal...	10/23/2024
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		
Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Homeless Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Moving On Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: Lived Experience Support Letter

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing Firs

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: Scored Forms for One Project

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Selection Results

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: HDX Competition Report

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing Leveraging Commitments

Attachment Details

Document Description: Healthcare Formal Agreements

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	09/11/2024
1B. Inclusive Structure	10/21/2024
1C. Coordination and Engagement	10/21/2024
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	10/21/2024
1E. Project Review/Ranking	10/23/2024
2A. HMIS Implementation	10/21/2024
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	10/21/2024
2C. System Performance	10/21/2024
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	10/21/2024
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	10/21/2024
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	10/21/2024

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	10/21/2024
4B. Attachments Screen	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required

PHA HOMELESS PREFERENCE

WV-501: Cabell Huntington Wayne Continuum of Care

1C-7

A preference does not guarantee admission to the program. Preferences are used to establish the order of placement on the waiting list. Every applicant must meet the HHA's Selection Criteria as defined in this policy.

The HHA's preference system will work in combination with requirements to match the characteristics for the family to the type of unit available, including units with targeted populations, and further deconcentration of poverty in public housing. When such matching is required or permitted by current law, the HHA will give preference to qualified families.

Families who reach the top of the waiting list will be contacted by the HHA to verify their preference and, if verified, the HHA will complete a full application for occupancy. Applicants must complete the application for occupancy and continue through the application processing and may not retain their place on the waiting list if they refuse to complete their processing when contacted by the HHA.

Among applicants with equal preference status, the waiting list will be organized by **date and time.**

HWVHA has adopted the following Local Preferences:

The Huntington WV Housing authority uses date and time of the recipient of the completed application in determining the order of the following local preferences.

- **3.Involuntary Displacement (e.g. Disaster, Government Action, Action of Housing Owner, Inaccessibility, and Property disposition);**

- **2.Working Families where (head, spouse, co-head or sole member is employed for at least 3 months) this preference is automatically extended to elderly families or families whose head or spouse meets HUD's definition of disability; This includes families who are graduates of or participants in educational training programs designed to prepare the individual for the job market.**

- **2.Those currently enrolled in education, training, or upward mobility programs (this includes families who are graduates of or participants in educational and training programs designed to prepare individual(s) for the job market); and**

- **1.Those who are, Homeless, Victims of Domestic Violence, Living in Substandard Housing, and currently paying more than 50% of their income for rent and utilities (Rent Burden).**

All Local Preferences are defined in Chapter 4 in the Huntington WV Housing Authorities Admissions and Continued Occupancies Policy and in the Glossary of the HWVHA's ACOP.

What families are eligible to apply for NED vouchers?
Only income eligible families whose head of household, spouse or co-head is non-elderly (under age 62) and disabled may receive a NED voucher. Families with only a minor child with a disability are not eligible.

PHA MOVING ON PREFERENCE

WV-501: Cabell Huntington Wayne Continuum of Care

1C-7

THE HUNTINGTON WEST VIRGINIA HOUSING AUTHORITY
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES - JOHNSTON CENTRE
300 SEVENTH AVENUE WEST **P.O. BOX 2183**
HUNTINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA 25701 **HUNTINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA 25722**
TELEPHONE 304-526-4400 FAX 304-526-4418 TDD 304-526-0022

COMMISSIONERS
WENDY D. THOMAS, CHAIRWOMAN
SANDRA CLEMENTS, VICE CHAIRWOMAN
J.T. COMBS

ADMINISTRATION
LARRY D. ELLIS, JR.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

CHARLES W. PEOPLES, JR.
GENERAL COUNSEL

11/5/2021

To Whom It May Concern

Re: Moving Up

The Huntington WV Housing Authority (HWVHA) administers several Permanent Supportive Housing Vouchers (PSH) for those individuals and families who are chronically homeless, homeless, have substance use disorders, HIV, serious mental illness, or other disabling conditions.

Several years ago, through a series of discussions with our partnering agencies, we realized that some clients were very successful with their treatment plans and case management, no longer requiring intensive case management services. As a response to this HWVHA set aside 25 Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) to be used for those PSH participants, who were successfully and stably housed for at least 12 consecutive months and are no longer in need of supportive services. By creating these set-aside HCV vouchers for the 25 Moving Up participants it has freed up PSH vouchers to be used for those higher acuity individuals and families.

Sincerely

Larry D. Ellis, Jr.
Executive Director

THE HUNTINGTON WEST VIRGINIA HOUSING AUTHORITY
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES - JOHNSTON CENTRE
300 SEVENTH AVENUE WEST **P.O. BOX 2183**
HUNTINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA 25701 **HUNTINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA 25722**
TELEPHONE 304-526-4400 FAX 304-526-4418 TDD 304-526-0022

COMMISSIONERS
WENDY D. THOMAS, CHAIRWOMAN
SANDRA CLEMENTS, VICE CHAIRWOMAN
J.T. COMBS

ADMINISTRATION
LARRY D. ELLIS, JR.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

CHARLES W. PEOPLES, JR.
GENERAL COUNSEL

PSH Move-Up Program

ATTENTION PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING (PSH) CLIENTS:

The Huntington WV Housing Authority has implemented a program for clients who have successfully completed the PSH program. 25 regular Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers have been set aside for the Move-Up program. In order to be eligible for the Move-Up Program you must have completed all of the following guidelines with HHA and your referring supportive service case manager.

1. Be successfully housed for at least 12 months
2. Be in full compliance with PSH rules and regulations
3. Graduate from the CoC referring agency program and receive a graduation letter attached with certified documentation from your referring agency that you have completed their agencies program policy for graduation.

LIVED EXPERIENCE SUPPORT LETTER

WV-501: Cabell Huntington Wayne Continuum of Care

1D-10a

October 18, 2024

Cabell-Huntington-Wayne Continuum of Care Housing First Subcommittee
c/c Harmony House
627 4th Avenue
Huntington, WV, 25701

To Whom It May Concern:

The Housing First Subcommittee includes persons with lived experience of homelessness, as well as persons from underserved populations in our community. All members of the subcommittee have lived experience (experience and/or professional understanding) of homelessness and/or of homeless crisis response systems. Seven members of this subcommittee have recent lived experience of homelessness, and seven members have experienced unsheltered homelessness.

The subcommittee fully and unanimously supports the priorities for serving individuals and families experiencing homelessness with severe service needs in the CoC's geographic area outlined in the 2024 NOFO Application and the project applications. The chair of this subcommittee has signed below attesting to the committee's full support.

Further, we support the CoC's priorities for serving individuals and families experiencing homelessness with severe service needs in our geographic area, including prioritization given to those who are experiencing unsheltered homelessness, victims of domestic violence, those experiencing chronic homelessness, those who are living with SUD, families with children, and unaccompanied youth.

Sincerely,

Michelle Massie

Michelle Massie
Cabell Huntington Wayne Continuum of Care
Housing First Subcommittee Chair

HOUSING FIRST EVALUATION

WV-501: Cabell Huntington Wayne Continuum of Care

1D-2a

Provider Information

Please complete the information below on the organization being assessed.

Provider Information	
Provider's Legal Name	**Harmony House**
Acronym (If Applicable)	HH
Year Incorporated	1987
EIN	55-0675036
Street Address	627 4th Avenue
Zip Code	25701

Project Information	
Project Name	Rapid Rehousing Renewal
Project Budget	$422,712.00
Grant Number	WV0124
Name of Project Director	Misty Golden
Project Director Email Address	golden@harmonyhousewv.com
Project Director Phone Number	304-544-2076
Which best describes the project *	**Rapid Rehousing**
If project is a Safe Haven, please choose project type that it most operates like, e.g. shelter, transitional housing, or permanent housing	
Are your services targeted to any of the following populations specifically? Please select one if so, as this impacts your assessment questions.	**None of the above**

*Please note that when you select a project type, particular standards may not be relevant.

Management Information	
Name of CEO	Brittany Ochoa
CEO Email Address	brittany.ochoa@harmonyhousewv.com
CEO Phone Number	304-730-2050
Name of Staff Member Guiding Assessment	Misty Golden
Staff Email Address	see above
Staff Phone Number	see above

Assessment Information	
Name of Assessor	Sara Blevins O'Toole
Organizational Affiliation of Assessor	Cabell-Huntington-Wayne CoC
Assessor Email Address	blevins@branchesdvs.org
Assessor Phone Number	304-529-2382
Date of Assessment	**Oct 15 2024**

Housing First Standards

For each standard, please use the drop down boxes in the three columns to the right to select “Not at all” or “Sometimes” or "Always". Marking "Always" signifies full compliance for the standard.

No.	Standard	Access Definition / Evidence	Say It	Document it	Do it
Access 1	Projects are low-barrier	Admission to projects is not contingent on pre-requisites such as abstinence of substances, minimum income requirements, health or mental health history, medication adherence, age, criminal justice history, financial history, completion of treatment, participation in services, “housing readiness,” history or occurrence of victimization, survivor of sexual assault or an affiliated person of such a survivor or other unnecessary conditions unless required by law or funding source. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always
Access 2	Projects do not deny assistance for unnecessary reasons	Procedures and oversight demonstrate that staff do everything possible to avoid denying assistance or rejecting an individual or family for the reasons listed in Access Standard #1. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always
Access 3	Access regardless of sexual orientation, gender identity, or marital status	Equal access is provided in accordance with the 2012 and 2016 Equal Access Rules, meaning that any project funded by HUD must ensure equal access for persons regardless of one’s sexual orientation or marital status, and in accordance with one’s gender identity. Adult only households, regardless of marital status, should have equal access to projects (if these project types are not available within a CoC, the CoC should conduct an assessment to determine if these project types are needed and work with providers to accommodate the need). Please see Equal Access Rules here: https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/1991/equal-access-to-housing-final-rule/ *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always
Access 4	Admission process is expedited with speed and efficiency	Projects have expedited admission processes, to the greatest extent possible, including helping participants obtain documentation required by funding sources, as well as processes to admit participants regardless of the status of their eligibility documentation whenever applicable. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always

Access 5	Intake processes are person-centered and flexible	Intake and assessment procedures are focused on the individual's or family's strengths, needs, and preferences. Projects do not require specific appointment times, but have flexible intake schedules that ensure access to all households. Assessments are focused on identifying household strengths, resources, as well as identifying barriers to housing that can inform the basis of a housing plan as soon as a person is enrolled in the project. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always
Access 6	The provider/project accepts and makes referrals directly through Coordinated Entry	Projects actively participate in the CoC-designated Coordinated Entry processes as part of streamlined community-wide system access and triage. If these processes are not yet implemented, projects follow communities' existing referral processes. Referrals from Coordinated Entry are rarely rejected, and only if there is a history of violence, the participant does not want to be in the project, there are legally valid grounds (such as restrictions regarding sex offenders) or some other exceptional circumstance that is well documented. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always
Access 7	Exits to homelessness are avoided	Projects that can no longer serve particular households utilize the coordinated entry process, or the communities' existing referral processes if coordinated entry processes are not yet implemented, to ensure that those individuals and families have access to other housing and services as desired, and do not become disconnected from services and housing. Households encounter these exits under certain circumstances, such as if they demonstrate violent or harassing behaviors, which are described within agencies' regulation-adherent policies. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always

	Name	Participant Input Definition / Evidence	Say It	Document it	Do it
Participant Input 1	Participant education is ongoing	Project participants receive ongoing education on Housing First principles as well as other service models employed in the project. In the beginning of and throughout tenancy, participants are informed about their full rights and responsibilities as lease holders, including the potential causes for eviction. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always
Participant Input 2	Projects create regular, formal opportunities for participants to offer input	Input is welcomed regarding the project's policies, processes, procedures, and practices. Opportunities include involvement in: quality assurance and evaluation processes, a participant leadership/advisory board, processes to formally communicate with landlords, the design of and participation in surveys and focus groups, planning social gatherings, integrating peer specialists and peer-facilitated support groups to compliment professional services. *Optional notes here*	Always	Somewhat	Always

Housing First Standards

For each standard, please use the drop down boxes in the three columns to the right to select “Not at all” or “Sometimes” or "Always". Marking "Always" signifies full compliance for the standard.

	Standard	Lease and Occupancy Definition / Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Leases 1	Housing is considered permanent (not applicable for Transitional Housing)	Housing is not time-limited (though rent assistance may be) and leases are automatically renewable upon expiration, except with prior notice by either party. *This is an SSO project. PSH was selected due to it being the closest category. Determinations in this section have been made accordingly.*	Always	Always	Always
Leases 2	Participant choice is fundamental	A participant has, at minimum, choices in deciding the location and type of housing based on preferences from a range of housing types and among multiple units, as available and as practical. In project-based settings, participants should be offered choice of units within a particular building, or within the portfolio of single site properties. In projects that use shared housing, i.e. housing with unrelated roommates, participants should be offered choice of roommates, as available and as practical. Additionally, as applicable, participants are able to choose their roommates when sharing a room or unit. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always
Leases 3	Leases are the same for participants as for other tenants	Leases do not have any provisions that would not be found in leases held by any other tenant in the property or building and is renewable per the participants’ and owner’s choice. People experiencing homelessness who receive help moving into permanent housing should have leases that confer the full rights, responsibilities, and legal protections under Federal, state, and local housing laws. For transitional housing, there may be limitations on length of stay, but a lease/occupancy agreement should look like a lease that a person would have in the normal rental market. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always
Leases 4	Participants receive education about their lease or occupancy agreement terms	Participants are also given access to legal assistance and encouraged to exercise their full legal rights and responsibilities. Landlords and providers abide by their legally-defined roles and responsibilities. *Optional notes here*	Always	Somewhat	Always

Leases 5	Measures are used to prevent eviction	Property or building management, with services support, incorporates a culture of eviction avoidance, reinforced through practices and policies that prevent lease violations and evictions among participants, and evict participants only when they are a threat to self or others. Clear eviction appeal processes and due process is provided for all participants. Lease bifurcation is allowed so that a tenant or lawful occupant who is a victim of a criminal act of physical violence committed against them by another tenant or lawful occupant is not evicted, removed or penalized if the other is evicted. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always
Leases 6	Providing stable housing is a priority	Providers engage in a continued effort to hold housing for participants, even if they leave their housing for short periods due to treatment, illness, or any other temporary stay outside of the unit. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always
Leases 7	Rent payment policies respond to tenants' needs (as applicable)	While tenants are accountable to the rental agreement, adjustments may be needed on a case by case basis. As necessary, participants are given special payment arrangements for rent arrears and/or assistance with financial management, including representative payee arrangements. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always

Housing First Standards

For each standard, please use the drop down boxes in the three columns to the right to select “Not at all” or “Sometimes” or "Always". Marking "Always" signifies full compliance for the standard.

	Standard	Services Definition / Evidence	Say it	Document it	Do it
Services 1	Projects promote participant choice in services	Participants are able to choose from an array of services. Services offered are housing focused and include the following areas of support: employment and income, childhood and education, community connection, and stabilization to maintain housing. These should be provided by linking to community-based services. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always
Services 2	Person Centered Planning is a guiding principle of the service planning process	Person-centered Planning is a guiding principle of the service planning process *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always
Services 3	Service support is as permanent as the housing	Service connections are permanently available and accessible for participants in Permanent Supportive Housing. Rapid Re-Housing projects should, at a minimum, be prepared to offer services for up to 6 months after the rental assistance ends. In emergency shelter and transitional housing, services are available as long as the participant resides in the unit or bed – and up to 6 months following exit from transitional housing. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always
Services 4	Services are continued despite change in housing status or placement	Wherever possible, participants continue to be offered services even if they lose their housing unit or bed (for congregate projects), or if they are placed in a short-term inpatient treatment. Ideally, the service relationship should continue, despite a service hiatus during some institutional stays. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always

Services 5	Participant engagement is a core component of service delivery	Staff provide effective services by developing relationships with participants that provide immediate needs and safety, develop trust and common ground, making warm hand-offs to other mainstream service providers, and clearly explain staff roles. Engagement is regular and relationships are developed over time. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always
Services 6	Services are culturally appropriate with translation services available, as needed	Project staff are sensitive to and support the cultural aspects of diverse households. Wherever possible, staff demographics reflect the participant population they serve in order to provide appropriate, culturally-specific services. Translation services are provided when needed to ensure full comprehension of the project. Projects that serve families with children should have family-friendly rules that allow for different schedules based on work and school hours and have services that allow parents to participate in activities without having to constantly supervise their children themselves (i.e. can use the bathroom or take a shower without their children being in the bathroom with them). *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always
Services 7	Staff are trained in clinical and non-clinical strategies (including harm reduction, motivational interviewing, trauma-informed approaches, strength-based)	Services support a participant's ability to obtain and retain housing regardless of changes in behavior. Services are informed by a harm-reduction philosophy, such as recognizing that substance use and addiction are a part of some participants' lives. Participants are engaged in non-judgmental communication regarding their behavior and are offered education regarding how to avoid risky behaviors and engage in safer practices. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always

Standard		Housing Definition / Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Housing 1	Housing is not dependent on participation in services	Participation in permanent and temporary housing settings, as well as crisis settings such as emergency shelter, is not contingent on participating in supportive services or demonstration of progress made on a service plan. Services must be offered by staff, but are voluntary for participants. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always
Housing 2	Substance use is not a reason for termination	Participants are only terminated from the project for violations in the lease or occupancy agreements, as applicable. Occupancy agreements or an addendum to the lease do not include conditions around substance use or participation in services. If the project is a recovery housing model focused on people who are in early recovery from drugs or alcohol (as outlined in HUD's Recovery Housing Brief), different standards related to use and subsequent offer of treatment may apply. See HUD's Recovery Housing brief here: https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/4852/recovery-housing-policy-brief/	Always	Always	Always

		Optional notes here			
Housing 3	The rules and regulations of the project are centered on participants' rights	Project staff have realistic expectations and policies. Rules and regulations are designed to support safe and stable communities and should never interfere with a life in the community. Participants have access to the project at all hours (except for nightly in and out shelter) and accommodation is made for pets. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always
Housing 4	Participants have the option to transfer to another project	Transfers should be accommodated for tenants who reasonably believe that they are threatened with imminent harm from further violence if the tenant remains in the same unit. Whenever possible, transfers occur before a participant experiences homelessness. *Optional notes here*	Always	Always	Always

Housing First Standards

For each standard, please use the drop down boxes in the three columns to the right to select “Not at all” or “Sometimes” or "Always". Marking "Always" signifies full compliance for the standard.

	Standard	Project -Specific Standards	Say It	Document it	Do it
Project 1	Quick access to RRH assistance	A Rapid Re-housing project ensures quick linkage to rapid re-housing assistance, based on participant choice.	Always	Always	Always
		Not applicable			
Project 2	RRH services support people in maintaining their housing	Participants and staff understand that a primary goal of rapid re-housing is to end homelessness and move participants to permanent housing as quickly as possible, regardless of perceived barriers.	Always	Always	Always
		Optional notes here			
Project 3	Providers continuously assess a participant’s need for assistance	On an ongoing basis, providers assess a participant’s needs for continued assistance and provide tailored assistance based on those assessments.	Always	Always	Always
		Not applicable			
		No additional standards			
		Optional notes here			

LOCAL COMPETITION SCORING TOOL

WV-501: Cabell Huntington Wayne Continuum of Care

1E-2

Domestic Violence-Rapid Rehousing

Ranking & Scoring Tool

Project Name_____

Grant ID_____

Total Points Available: 125	
Points Awarded	
+ Bonus Points	
TOTAL SCORE	

Scoring Element	Max Points	Scoring Threshold	Awarded Points
1. Permanent Housing Placements Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Reports The percentage of persons served who moved into positive housing.	20	20 Points: 75% or higher 15 Points: 70-74% 10 Points: 65-69% 0 Points: 64% or less	
2. Length of Time to Move-In Objective Source: System Performance & APR Report The average length of time for persons to move into housing.	10	10 Points: 30 days or less 5 Points: 31-40 days 3 Points: 41-50 days 0 Points: 51+ days	
3. Unsheltered Homelessness Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Report The percentage of project participants who exited to places not meant for human habitation.	10	10 Points: 0% 5 Points: 1-4% 0 Points: 5% or higher	
4. Total Income Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Report The percentage of adults that maintained or increased total income (earned or other income) by program exit.	10	10 Points: 75% or more 5 Points: 65-74% 3 Points: 55-64% 0 Points: 54% or less	
5. Timely Submission of Annual Performance Report Objective Source: SAGE Annual Performance Report submitted in SAGE on time. If the submission was rejected by HUD, report must be amended and resubmitted within 30 days.	10	10 Points: Yes 0 Points: No	
6. Serving Those with Severe Service Barriers Objective Source: Annual Performance Report The percentage of clients served who are experiencing unsheltered homelessness, living with substance use disorder or severe mental illness, currently fleeing domestic violence, or are chronically homeless.	10	10 Points: 90% or more 5 Points: 89-50% 0 Points: 49% of less	
7. Housing First Approach Objective Source: Housing First Subcommittee; US Interagency Council on Homelessness Housing First Screener. Results of the Housing First Assessment provided by the CoC's Housing First Subcommittee.	10	Housing First Assessment Score (≤ 10 Points)	
8. Grant Spending Objective Source: eLOCCS The percentage of project funds spent during the last operating year.	10	10 Points: spent 100% 5 Points: spent 98-99% 3 Points: spent 96-97% 0 Points: spent 95% or less	
9. Safety Planning Objective Source: Osnium Data The percentage of clients that entered the project during the operating year who participated in safety planning.	10	10 Points: 99-100% 5 Points: 95-98% 0 Points: 94 or less	
Total Possible Points	**100**		

Application Score:	

Bonus Points Available

Scoring Element	Max Bonus	Scoring Threshold	Bonus Points
1. Racial Equity Objective Source: Annual Performance Report The extent to which the project participants mirror the CoC's homeless population demographics.	5	5 Points: an equal or higher percentage of clients of color served 0 Points: a lower percentage of clients of color served	
2. HMIS Objective Source: Annual Performance Report The extent to which the project: 1. Has satisfactory data quality, and 2. Has satisfactory data timeliness.	10	10 Points: 2 factors met 5 Points: 1 factor met 0 Points: 0 factors met	
3. Barriers to Participation Objective Source: Narrative Provided by Project The project provided the following to the P&E Subcommittee 1. A narrative identifying any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and 2. Steps the project has taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.	10	10 Points: provided 2 5 Points: Provided 1 0 Points: Provided 0	
Total Possible Bonus	**25**		

Total Bonus Points:	

DV-RRH Ranking Tool Information

	Points	Percentage of Total Available
Maximum Points Available All Projects	125	
Objective Criteria: Max Points Available	125	100%
System Performance: Max Points Available	50	40%
Severe Service Barriers: Max Points Available	10	
Barrier To Participation Max Points Available	10	

Permanent Supportive Housing

Ranking & Scoring Tool

Project Name_____

Grant ID_____

Total Points Available: 125	
Points Awarded	
+ Bonus Points	
TOTAL SCORE	

Scoring Element	Max Points	Scoring Threshold	Awarded Points
1. Permanent Housing Placements Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Report The percentage of persons who remained in or exited to permanent housing destinations.	20	20 Points: 98-100% 15 Points: 96-97% 10 Points: 94-95% 0 Points: 93% or less	
2. Unsheltered Homelessness Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Report The percentage of project participants who exited to the places not meant for human habitation.	15	15 Points: 0% 10 Points: 1-2% 5 Points: 3-4% 0 Points: 5% or higher	
3. Utilization Rate Objective Source: Annual Performance Report Average bed utilization on all PIT dates.	10	10 Points: 91% or more 5 Points: 80-90% 0 Points: 79% or less	
4. Total Income Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Report The percentage of adults that maintained or increased total income (earned or other income) by program exit.	10	10 Points: 75% or more 5 Points: 65-74% 3 Points: 55-64% 0 Points: 54% or less	
5. Timely Submission of Annual Performance Report Objective Source: SAGE Annual Performance Report submitted in SAGE on time. If the submission was rejected by HUD, report must be amended and resubmitted within 30 days.	10	10 Points: Yes 0 Points: No	
6. Serving Those with Severe Service Barriers Objective Source: Annual Performance Report The percentage of clients served who are experiencing unsheltered homelessness, living with substance use disorder or severe mental illness, currently fleeing domestic violence, or are chronically homeless.	10	10 Points: 90% or more 5 Points: 89 - 50% 0 Points: 49% or less	
7. Housing First Approach Objective Source: Housing First Subcommittee; US Interagency Council on Homelessness Housing First Screener. Results of the Housing First Assessment provided by the CoC's Housing First Subcommittee.	10	Housing First Assessment Score (≤ 10 Points)	
8. Grant Spending Objective Source: eLOCCS The percentage of project funds spent during the last operating year.	10	10 Points: spent 100% 5 Points: spent 98-99% 3 Points: spent 96-97% 0 Points: spent 95% or less	
9. Mainstream Benefits (Non-Cash) Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Report The percentage of households that maintained or increased non-cash benefits at program exit.	5	5 Points: 70% or more 3 Points: 60-69% 0 Points: 59% or less	
Total Possible Points	**100**		

Application Score:	

Bonus Points Available

Scoring Element	Max Bonus	Scoring Threshold	Bonus Points
1. Racial Equity Objective Source: Annual Performance Report The extent to which the project participants mirror the CoC's homeless population demographics.	5	5 Points: an equal or higher percentage of clients of color served 0 Points: a lower percentage of clients of color served	
2. HMIS Objective Source: Annual Performance Report The extent to which the project: 1. Has satisfactory data quality, and 2. Has satisfactory data timeliness.	10	10 Points: 2 factors met 5 Points: 1 factor met 0 Points: 0 factors met	
3. Barriers to Participation Objective Source: Narrative Provided by Project The project provided the following to the P&E Subcommittee 1. A narrative identifying any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and 2. Steps the project has taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.	10	10 Points: provided 2 5 Points: Provided 1 0 Points: Provided 0	
Total Possible Bonus	**25**		

Total Bonus Points:	

PSH Ranking Tool Information

	Points	Percentage of Total Available
Maximum Points Available All Projects	125	
Objective Criteria: Max Points Available	125	100%
System Performance: Max Points Available	50	40%
Severe Service Barriers: Max Points Available	10	
Barrier To Participation Max Points Available	10	

Rapid Rehousing

Ranking & Scoring Tool

Project Name_____

Grant ID_____

Total Points Available: 125	
Points Awarded	
+ Bonus Points	
TOTAL SCORE	

Scoring Element	Max Points	Scoring Threshold	Awarded Points
1. Permanent Housing Placements Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Reports The percentage of persons served who moved into positive housing.	20	20 Points: 75% or higher 15 Points: 70-74% 10 Points: 65-69% 0 Points: 64% or less	
2. Length of Time to Move-In Objective Source: Annual Performance Report The average length of time for persons to move into housing.	15	15 Points: 30 days or less 10 Points: 31-40 days 5 Points: 41-50 days 0 Points: 51+ days	
3. Unsheltered Homelessness Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Report The percentage of project participants who exited to places not meant for human habitation.	10	10 Points: 0% 5 Points: 1-4% 0 Points: 5% or higher	
4. Total Income Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Report The percentage of adults that maintained or increased total income (earned or other income) by program exit.	10	10 Points: 75% or more 5 Points: 65-74% 3 Points: 55-64% 0 Points: 54% or less	
5. Timely Submission of Annual Performance Report Objective Source: SAGE Annual Performance Report submitted in SAGE on time. If the submission was rejected by HUD, report must be amended and resubmitted within 30 days.	10	10 Points: Yes 0 Points: No	
6. Serving Those with Severe Service Barriers Objective Source: Annual Performance Report The percentage of clients served who are experiencing unsheltered homelessness, living with substance use disorder or severe mental illness, currently fleeing domestic violence, or are chronically homeless.	10	10 Points: 90% or more 5 Points: 89-50% 0 Points: 49% or less	
7. Housing First Approach Objective Source: Housing First Subcommittee; US Interagency Council on Homelessness Housing First Screener. Results of the Housing First Assessment provided by the CoC's Housing First Subcommittee.	10	Housing First Assessment Score (≤ 10 Points)	
8. Grant Spending Objective Source: eLOCCS The percentage of project funds spent during the last operating year.	10	10 Points: spent 100% 5 Points: spent 98-99% 3 Points: spent 96-97% 0 Points: spent 95% or less	
9. Mainstream Benefits (Non-Cash) Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Report The percentage of households that maintained or increased non-cash benefits by program exit.	5	5 Points: 70% or more 3 Points: 60 – 69% 0 Points: 59% or less	
Total Possible Points	**100**		

Application Score:	

Bonus Points Available

Scoring Element	Max Bonus	Scoring Threshold	Bonus Points
1. Racial Equity Objective Source: Annual Performance Report The extent to which the project participants mirror the CoC's homeless population demographics.	5	5 Points: an equal or higher percentage of clients of color served 0 Points: a lower percentage of clients of color served	
2. HMIS Objective Source: Annual Performance Report The extent to which the project: 1. Has satisfactory data quality, and 2. Has satisfactory data timeliness.	10	10 Points: 2 factors met 5 Points: 1 factor met 0 Points: 0 factors met	
3. Barriers to Participation Objective Source: Narrative Provided by Project The project provided the following to the P&E Subcommittee 1. A narrative identifying any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those overrepresented in the local homelessness population, and 2. Steps the project has taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.	10	10 Points: provided 2 5 Points: Provided 1 0 Points: Provided 0	
Total Possible Bonus	**25**		

Total Bonus Points:	

RRH Ranking Tool Information

	Points	Percentage of Total Available
Maximum Points Available All Projects	125	
Objective Criteria: Max Points Available	125	100%
System Performance: Max Points Available	50	40%
Severe Service Barriers: Max Points Available	10	
Barrier To Participation Max Points Available	10	

Transitional Housing to Rapid Rehousing

Ranking & Scoring Tool

Project Name_____

Grant ID_____

Total Points Available: 125	
Points Awarded	
+ Bonus Points	
TOTAL SCORE	

Scoring Element	Max Points	Scoring Threshold	Awarded Points
1. Utilization Rate Objective Source: Annual Performance Report Average bed utilization on all PIT dates.	15	10 Points: 91% or more 5 Points: 80-90% 0 Points: 79% or less	
2. Permanent Housing Placements Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Report The percentage of persons served who moved into positive housing.	10	20 Points: 75% or higher 15 Points: 70-74% 10 Points: 65-69% 0 Points: 64% or less	
3. Length of Time to Move-In Objective Source: Annual Performance Report The average length of time for persons to move into housing.	10	15 Points: 30 days or less 10 Points: 31-40 days 5 Points: 41-50 days 0 Points: 51+ days	
4. Unsheltered Homelessness Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Report The percentage of project participants who exited to places not meant for human habitation.	10	10 Points: 0% 5 Points: 1-4% 0 Points: 5% or higher	
5. Total Income Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Report The percentage of adults that maintained or increased total income (earned or other income) by program exit.	10	10 Points: 75% or more 5 Points: 65-74% 3 Points: 55-64% 0 Points: 54% or less	
6. Timely Submission of Annual Performance Report Objective Source: SAGE Annual Performance Report submitted in SAGE on time. If the submission was rejected by HUD, report must be amended and resubmitted within 30 days.	10	10 Points: Yes 0 Points: No	
7. Serving Those with Severe Service Barriers Objective Source: Annual Performance Report The percentage of clients served who are experiencing unsheltered homelessness, living with substance use disorder or severe mental illness, currently fleeing domestic violence, or are chronically homeless.	10	10 Points: 90% or more 5 Points: 89-50% 0 Points: 49% or less	
8. Housing First Approach Objective Source: Housing First Subcommittee; US Interagency Council on Homelessness Housing First Screener. Results of the Housing First Assessment provided by the CoC's Housing First Subcommittee.	10	Housing First Assessment Score (≤ 10 Points)	
9. Grant Spending Objective Source: eLOCCS The percentage of project funds spent during the last operating year.	10	10 Points: spent 100% 5 Points: spent 98-99% 3 Points: spent 96-97% 0 Points: spent 95% or less	
10. Mainstream Benefits (Non-Cash) Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Report The percentage of households that maintained or increased non-cash benefits by program exit.	5	5 Points: 70% or more 3 Points: 60 – 69% 0 Points: 59% or less	
Total Possible Points	**100**		

Application Score:	

Bonus Points Available

Scoring Element	Max Bonus	Scoring Threshold	Bonus Points
1. Racial Equity Objective Source: Annual Performance Report The extent to which the project participants mirror the CoC's homeless population demographics.	5	5 Points: an equal or higher percentage of clients of color served 0 Points: a lower percentage of clients of color served	
2. HMIS Objective Source: Annual Performance Report The extent to which the project: 1. Has satisfactory data quality, and 2. Has satisfactory data timeliness.	10	10 Points: 2 factors met 5 Points: 1 factor met 0 Points: 0 factors met	
3. Barriers to Participation Objective Source: Narrative Provided by Project The project provided the following to the P&E Subcommittee 1. A narrative identifying any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those overrepresented in the local homelessness population, and 2. Steps the project has taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.	10	10 Points: provided 2 5 Points: Provided 1 0 Points: Provided 0	
Total Possible Bonus	**25**		

Total Bonus Points:	

TH-RRH Ranking Tool Information

	Points	Percentage of Total Available
Maximum Points Available All Projects	125	
Objective Criteria: Max Points Available	125	100%
System Performance: Max Points Available	50	40%
Severe Service Barriers: Max Points Available	10	
Barrier To Participation Max Points Available	10	

Objective of the Prioritization & Evaluation Subcommittee

The purpose of this committee is objectively rank Cabell-Huntington Wayne's renewal and new (bonus, reallocation, and consolidation) projects applications for the regular consolidated CoC NOFO application.

NOFO Project Ranking Information

Project Ranking. HUD requires that Project applications submitted to the Continuum of Care (CoC) for inclusion on the FY 2024 CoC Priority Listing, as part of the CoC Consolidated Application, must be either accepted and ranked, and approved, or rejected by the CoC. All project applications approved by the CoC must be listed on the CoC Priority Listing in rank order, except CoC planning, UFA Costs, and Round 2 or YHDP renewal or replacement projects, which are not ranked. Higher ranked projects will be assigned to Tier 1 and lower ranked projects will be assigned to Tier 2. The purpose of this two-tiered approach is for CoCs to notify HUD which projects are prioritized for funding based on local needs and gaps.

The Prioritization and Evaluation (P&E) subcommittee ranks applicable projects applying for funding in the CoC Consolidated Application. This is an ordered ranking of all renewal and new projects the CoC is submitting in the application for funding. The project ranking must reflect HUD funding priorities, local need, and a data-driven process for evaluating individual project performance.

Prior to the ranking process, the CoC completes a full performance evaluation of all renewal projects and determines whether to include each individual project in the ranking. The Steering Consultant provides data and pertinent project information to the subcommittee. This information is used during the ranking process. Utilizing this data, the subcommittee meets to rank all approved new and renewal project applications in order of priority and to identify any project applications rejected by the CoC. For more information about the tiers or HUD's ranking process, see Sections V.C. of the 2024-25 CoC NOFO (FR-6800-N-25).

FY2024 Available Funds

Information related to the Annual Renewal Amounts for current CoC projects and funding available under the CoC Bonus, DV Bonus, and CoC Planning will be announced when HUD releases the FY2024 Annual Renewal Demand report.

HUD's Policy Priorities and Strategic Goals

CHWCoC utilizes HUD's policy priorities when creating rating and ranking tools to support the goal of ending homelessness. Below are HUD's Policy Priorities listed in Section I of the 2024-25 CoC NOFO.

1. Ending homelessness for all persons.
2. Use a Housing First approach.
3. Reducing Unsheltered Homelessness.
4. Improving System Performance
5. Partnering with Housing, Health, and Service Agencies.
6. Racial Equity
7. Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals
8. Persons with Lived Experience/Expertise
9. Building an Effective Workforce
10. Increasing Affordable Housing Supply

Applications are expected to align your application to the applicable strategic goals and objectives below.

HUD's Strategic Goals

Strategic Goal 1: Support Underserved Communities Fortify support for underserved communities and support equitable community development for all people.		
1A: Advance Housing Justice Fortify support for vulnerable populations, underserved communities, and Fair Housing enforcement.	**1B: Reduce Homelessness** Strengthen Federal, State, Tribal, and community implementation of the Housing First approach to reducing the prevalence of homelessness, with the ultimate goal of ending homelessness.	**1C: Invest in the Success of Communities** Promote equitable community development that generates wealth-building for underserved communities, particularly for communities of color.
Strategic Goal 2: Ensure Access to and Increase the Production of Affordable Housing Ensure housing demand is matched by adequate production of new homes and equitable access to housing opportunities for all people.		
2A: Increase the Supply of Housing Enhance HUD's programs that increase the production and supply of housing across the country.	**2B: Improve Rental Assistance** Improve rental assistance to address the need for affordable housing.	
Strategic Goal 3: Promote Homeownership Promote homeownership opportunities, equitable access to credit for purchase and improvements, and wealth-building in underserved communities.		
3A: Advance Sustainable Homeownership Advance the deployment of tools and capital that put sustainable homeownership within reach.	**3A-Major Initiative: Expand Homeownership Opportunities** Promote financing for innovative ownership models to increase the availability of affordable housing.	**3B: Create a More Accessible and Inclusive Housing Finance System** Advance new policy, programs, and modernization initiatives that support a more equitable housing finance system. Promote the preservation and creation of affordable housing stock.
Strategic Goal 4: Advance Sustainable Communities Advance sustainable communities by strengthening climate resilience and energy efficiency, promoting environmental justice, and recognizing housing's role as essential to health.		
4A: Guide Investment in Climate Resilience Invest in climate resilience, energy efficiency, and renewable energy across HUD programs.	**4B: Strengthen Environmental Justice** Reduce exposure to health risks, environmental hazards, and substandard housing, especially for low-income households and communities of color.	**4C: Integrate Health and Housing** Advance policies that recognize housing's role as essential to health.

CHWCoC's Project Ranking Process

Renewal Project Scoring. Renewal projects approved by the P&E subcommittee for inclusion in the CoC project ranking will be scored according to an objective scoring tool based on their individual project performance, alignment with HUD and CoC policy priorities, and compliance. Performance and HMIS elements are heavily weighted measures in determining the overall CoC score for project applications. Data used in the project scoring tool comes from the most recently submitted Annual Performance Report

(APR) for each project and System Performance reports for the project's last completed grant year. See attached sample scoring tools.
First-time renewals are projects that have not yet completed their first operating year, and thus, cannot be scored for their performance due to not having a completed Annual Performance Report (APR), will be evaluated utilizing the project's year-to-date data to ensure that each project is on track for implementation and anticipated outcomes.

New or Bonus Project Selection. New project applicants will be assessed on the following: project design, how the project addresses local priority needs, how the project aligns with the CoC's Strategic Plan and HUD's priority to end homelessness, budget appropriateness and accuracy, project match, leveraging, CoC participation, community collaboration, organizational capacity, and implementation timeline. The organization's use of the Housing First philosophy and its impact on systemwide performance measures will also be assessed. There may be new projects that fail to score well enough to be included in the NOFO submission, or there may not be enough new project funding to fund all requests.

Domestic Violence Projects. Domestic Violence (DV) projects are ranked along with other projects of the same project type but are scored utilizing a separate scoring tool, which places emphasis on safety planning. For further details, see Renewal Project Scoring and New or Bonus Project Selection (above). All outcome data is gleaned from APR data that is pulled from an HMIS comparable data base and recorded on a spreadsheet with no personally identifiable information.

Ranking Order. New and renewal projects approved for inclusion in the CoC's project ranking will be ranked in the following order:

1. CoC infrastructure projects:
    a. HMIS Renewal Projects
    b. Coordinated Entry Supportive Services Only (SSO) projects
2. All other SSO projects
3. Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Rehousing (RRH) projects, and Transitional Housing-Rapid Rehousing (TH-RRH) projects (ranked in order of highest to lowest percentage score)
4. New projects approved for inclusion in ranking

Tie Breakers. Ties within the same project type will be broken in the following order:

1. Highest % of clients exiting to or retaining permanent housing.
2. Highest utilization rate
3. Largest grant amount

The P&E Committee may adjust individual project applications up or down in the ranking or reallocate funds to other projects to fulfill HUD priorities, prevent potential loss of funding, and maximize the overall CoC application score.

SCORED FORMS FOR ONE PROJECT

WV-501: Cabell Huntington Wayne Continuum of Care

1E-2a

Permanent Supportive Housing

Ranking & Scoring Tool

Project Name: HWVHA PSH 23

Grant ID: WV0115

Total Points Available: 125	
Points Awarded	94
+ Bonus Points	16
TOTAL SCORE	110

Scoring Element	Max Points	Scoring Threshold	Awarded Points
1. Permanent Housing Placements Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Report The percentage of persons who remained in or exited to permanent housing destinations.	20	20 Points: 98-100% 15 Points: 96-97% 10 Points: 94-95% 0 Points: 93% or less	20
2. Unsheltered Homelessness Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Report The percentage of project participants who exited to the places not meant for human habitation.	15	15 Points: 0% 10 Points: 1-2% 5 Points: 3-4% 0 Points: 5% or higher	15
3. Utilization Rate Objective Source: Annual Performance Report Average bed utilization on all PIT dates.	10	10 Points: 91% or more 5 Points: 80-90% 0 Points: 79% or less	10
4. Total Income Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Report The percentage of adults that maintained or increased total income (earned or other income) by program exit.	10	10 Points: 75% or more 5 Points: 65-74% 3 Points: 55-64% 0 Points: 54% or less	10
5. Timely Submission of Annual Performance Report Objective Source: SAGE Annual Performance Report submitted in SAGE on time. If the submission was rejected by HUD, report must be amended and resubmitted within 30 days.	10	10 Points: Yes 0 Points: No	10
6. Serving Those with Severe Service Barriers Objective Source: Annual Performance Report The percentage of clients served who are experiencing unsheltered homelessness, living with substance use disorder or severe mental illness, currently fleeing domestic violence, or are chronically homeless.	10	10 Points: 90% or more 5 Points: 89 - 50% 0 Points: 49% or less	10
7. Housing First Approach Objective Source: Housing First Subcommittee; US Interagency Council on Homelessness Housing First Screener. Results of the Housing First Assessment provided by the CoC's Housing First Subcommittee.	10	Housing First Assessment Score (≤ 10 Points)	9
8. Grant Spending Objective Source: eLOCCS The percentage of project funds spent during the last operating year.	10	10 Points: spent 100% 5 Points: spent 98-99% 3 Points: spent 96-97% 0 Points: spent 95% or less	10
9. Mainstream Benefits (Non-Cash) Objective Source: System Performance & Annual Performance Report The percentage of households that maintained or increased non-cash benefits at program exit.	5	5 Points: 70% or more 3 Points: 60-69% 0 Points: 59% or less	0
Total Possible Points	**100**		

Application Score:	94

Bonus Points Available

Scoring Element	Max Bonus	Scoring Threshold	Bonus Points
1. Racial Equity Objective Source: Annual Performance Report The extent to which the project participants mirror the CoC's homeless population demographics.	5	5 Points: an equal or higher percentage of clients of color served 0 Points: a lower percentage of clients of color served	5
2. HMIS Objective Source: Annual Performance Report The extent to which the project: 1. Has satisfactory data quality, and 2. Has satisfactory data timeliness.	10	10 Points: 2 factors met 5 Points: 1 factor met 0 Points: 0 factors met	5
3. Barriers to Participation Objective Source: Narrative Provided by Project The project provided the following to the P&E Subcommittee 1. A narrative identifying any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and 2. Steps the project has taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.	10	10 Points: provided 2 5 Points: Provided 1 0 Points: Provided 0	6
Total Possible Bonus	**25**		

Total Bonus Points:	16

PSH Ranking Tool Information

	Points	Percentage of Total Available
Maximum Points Available All Projects	125	
Objective Criteria: Max Points Available	125	100%
System Performance: Max Points Available	50	40%
Severe Service Barriers: Max Points Available	10	
Barrier To Participation Max Points Available	10	

NOTIFICATION OF PROJECTS REJECTED-REDUCED

WV-501: Cabell Huntington Wayne Continuum of Care

1E-5

10-21-2024 - The Cabell-Huntington Wayne Continuum of Care's (WV-501) Prioritization and Evaluation subcommittee did not reject or reduce any project applications in the FY24 local CoC program competition.

NOTIFICATION OF PROJECTS ACCEPTED

WV-501: Cabell Huntington Wayne Continuum of Care

1E-5a

Gmail Malinda Morrello <malindamorrello@gmail.com>

2024 CoC NOFO Project Ranking

8 messages

Melinda Midkiff <MidkiffM@huntingtonwv.gov> Fri, Oct 4, 2024 at 1:42 PM
To: Brittany Ochoa <brittany.ochoa@harmonyhousewv.com>, Jacalyn Woods <jacalyn.woods@harmonyhousewv.com>, "Amanda McComas (mccomas@branchesdvs.org)" <mccomas@branchesdvs.org>, "Larry Ellis, Jr." <lellis@hwvha.org>, Angie Sharp <asharp@hwvha.org>, "Mitch Webb (mwebb@hcmwv.org)" <mwebb@hcmwv.org>, "slittle@hcmwv.org" <slittle@hcmwv.org>, Angela Harshbarger <aharshbarger@hcmwv.org>
Cc: "Malinda Morrello [*]" <malindamorrello@gmail.com>

Dear CHWCoC Project Applicant,

The Prioritization and Evaluation Subcommittee met on Tuesday, October 1, to review, reject or accept, and rank project applications for inclusion in the CoC's Priority Listing which will be submitted to HUD along with the FY2024 Consolidated CoC NOFO Application. The Local Competition Selection Results are attached. No applications were rejected, and all applications were accepted and ranked by the committee.

The completed Ranking and Scoring Tools will be sent out to the Steering Committee next week.

Many thanks,

Melinda Midkiff
Financial Analyst
City of Huntington
Development Department
800 5th Avenue, Room L39J
P.O. Box 1659
Huntington, WV 25717
304-696-5540 x2106
midkiffm@huntingtonwv.gov

Malinda Morrello <malindamorrello@gmail.com> Fri, Oct 4, 2024 at 1:42 PM
To: Melinda Midkiff <MidkiffM@huntingtonwv.gov>

No attachment.
[Quoted text hidden]

Melinda Midkiff <MidkiffM@huntingtonwv.gov> Fri, Oct 4, 2024 at 1:43 PM
To: Brittany Ochoa <brittany.ochoa@harmonyhousewv.com>, Jacalyn Woods <jacalyn.woods@harmonyhousewv.com>, "Amanda McComas (mccomas@branchesdvs.org)" <mccomas@branchesdvs.org>, "Larry Ellis, Jr." <lellis@hwvha.org>, Angie Sharp <asharp@hwvha.org>, "Mitch Webb (mwebb@hcmwv.org)" <mwebb@hcmwv.org>, "slittle@hcmwv.org" <slittle@hcmwv.org>, Angela Harshbarger <aharshbarger@hcmwv.org>
Cc: "Malinda Morrello [*]" <malindamorrello@gmail.com>

Whoops! Forgot the attachment!

From: Melinda Midkiff
Sent: Friday, October 4, 2024 1:42 PM
To: Brittany Ochoa <brittany.ochoa@harmonyhousewv.com>; Jacalyn Woods <jacalyn.woods@harmonyhousewv.com>; Amanda McComas (mccomas@branchesdvs.org)

<mccomas@branchesdvs.org>; Larry Ellis, Jr. <lellis@hwvha.org>; Angie Sharp <asharp@hwvha.org>; Mitch Webb (mwebb@hcmwv.org) <mwebb@hcmwv.org>; slittle@hcmwv.org <slittle@hcmwv.org>; Angela Harshbarger <aharshbarger@hcmwv.org>
Cc: Malinda Morrello [*] <malindamorrello@gmail.com>
Subject: 2024 CoC NOFO Project Ranking

[Quoted text hidden]

Ranked List of Project Applications 2024.pdf
628K

Melinda Midkiff <MidkiffM@huntingtonwv.gov> Fri, Oct 4, 2024 at 1:43 PM
To: Malinda Morrello <malindamorrello@gmail.com>

Yes I realized as soon as I hit send...

From: Malinda Morrello <malindamorrello@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 4, 2024 1:42 PM
To: Melinda Midkiff <MidkiffM@Huntingtonwv.gov>
Subject: Re: 2024 CoC NOFO Project Ranking

EXTERNAL SENDER This email is not from an official City of Huntington email account. Please take caution when opening links or attachments from this email. Please forward this to *spam@huntingtonwv.gov* if you believe this email is suspicious.**

[Quoted text hidden]

Melinda Midkiff <MidkiffM@huntingtonwv.gov> Fri, Oct 4, 2024 at 1:45 PM
To: Malinda Morrello <malindamorrello@gmail.com>

Do you need for me to resend the whole thing separately with the attachment for the sake of the NOFO?

From: Malinda Morrello <malindamorrello@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 4, 2024 1:42 PM
To: Melinda Midkiff <MidkiffM@Huntingtonwv.gov>
Subject: Re: 2024 CoC NOFO Project Ranking

EXTERNAL SENDER This email is not from an official City of Huntington email account. Please take caution when opening links or attachments from this email. Please forward this to *spam@huntingtonwv.gov* if you believe this email is suspicious.**

No attachment.

Gmail

Malinda Morrello <malindamorrello@gmail.com>

NOFO Project Rankings

Brittany Ochoa <brittany.ochoa@harmonyhousewv.com> Wed, Oct 9, 2024 at 9:08 AM
To: Abigail Cadle <acadle@lawv.net>, Albert Bailey <albert.m.bailey@wv.gov>, "astewart@goodwillhunting.org" <astewart@goodwillhunting.org>, "mccomas@branchesdvs.org" <mccomas@branchesdvs.org>, Amanda Mullins <advocate@contacthuntington.com>, "ross@branchesdvs.org" <ross@branchesdvs.org>, Amy Jividen <amy.jividen@prestera.org>, Erin Dalton <erin.speco@gmail.com>, Amy Shaltsser <wayneadv@contacthuntington.com>, Andrea May <andreamay18.am@gmail.com>, Angie Sharp <asharp@hwvha.org>, "Shaw, Ashley" <shawa@marshall.edu>, "ashleyw@voamid.org" <ashleyw@voamid.org>, "cabelladv@contacthuntington.com" <cabelladv@contacthuntington.com>, Beth Lutz <Elizabeth.lutz@va.gov>, Betty Barrett <bettybarrett356@gmail.com>, Bob Hansen <ch.hansenb@gmail.com>, Breanna Shell <shellb@cityofhuntington.com>, Brett Jones <hawcwv@gmail.com>, Brett Wellman <bwellman@valleyhealth.org>, "cgillette@hcmwv.org" <cgillette@hcmwv.org>, Carrie Ware <cware97@gmail.com>, Catherine Watkins <catherinew@voamid.org>, "vicadvocate@contacthuntington.com" <vicadvocate@contacthuntington.com>, Christina Meade <advocate20@contacthuntington.com>, Connie Cole <ccole@gggh.org>, Corey Clark <corey.joe.clark@gmail.com>, David Michael <david@hahabitat.org>, Dustin Mills <dustin.c.mills@wv.gov>, Eric Abston <wvsynergyhealth@gmail.com>, "gordon.merry (gordon.merry@ccems.org)" <gordon.merry@ccems.org>, Hannah Fox <fox@branchesdvs.org>, harrison <lrharrison2@gggh.org>, Heather Bennett <heather.bennett@prestera.org>, "heather.greene4@va.gov" <heather.greene4@va.gov>, "jplymale@hwvha.org" <jplymale@hwvha.org>, Jennifer Folwell <jennifer.folwell@uss.salvationarmy.org>, Jerry Beckett <jerry.beckett@ccems.org>, "Jessica Queen (gibbs18@marshall.edu)" <gibbs18@marshall.edu>, John Beckett <jb29ufcfan@gmail.com>, "prentice2@live.marshall.edu" <prentice2@live.marshall.edu>, Josh Parlier <joshua.parlier@courtswv.gov>, Joyce Estep <joyce.estep@va.gov>, Joyce Workman <joyce.workman@scacwv.org>, Julie A <julie.a.alston@hud.gov>, "julie.mabe@prestera.org" <julie.mabe@prestera.org>, Karen Nance <knnance@comcast.net>, Kathleen Yancy <kathleen.yancy@va.gov>, Kathy Kiger <katherine.g.kiger@wv.gov>, "aabelbrown@marshall.edu" <aabelbrown@marshall.edu>, Keith Thomas <rthomas@k12.wv.us>, Keli Mallory <keli.mallory@prestera.org>, Kelly McCallister <kelly.mccallister@va.gov>, Kim Stephens <kimberly.stephens@ssa.gov>, "LEllis@hwvha.org" <LEllis@hwvha.org>, Laura Pauley <laurap@voaky.org>, Lee Tabor <Lee.G.Tabor@wv.gov>, Linda Blamer <lblamer@wccso.org>, Lisa Welton <lisa.welton@uss.salvationarmy.org>, "Lisa Zappia (lisa.zappia@prestera.org)" <lisa.zappia@prestera.org>, Liz Blusiewicz <liz.blusiewicz@uss.salvationarmy.org>, Lucia Hayden <lucia.hayden2@va.gov>, Madison Hutchinson <madison.hutchinson@prestera.org>, "malindamorrello@gmail.com" <malindamorrello@gmail.com>, Maria Finley <maria.finley@prestera.org>, Marissa Clark <marissa.thompson.clark@gmail.com>, Marlene Fletcher <marlene.fletcher@scacwv.org>, Marsha Stevens <MStevens2@hwvha.org>, "mthaxton@hcmwv.org" <mthaxton@hcmwv.org>, Mary Boothe <mary.boothe@va.gov>, Melinda Heim <melindaheim@reapreentry.org>, Melinda Midkiff <MidkiffM@huntingtonwv.gov>, Melissa Gibson <Melissa.Gibson@prestera.org>, Michael Campbell <michael.i.campbell@wv.gov>, Michael <Michael.Kilkenny@chhdwv.gov>, Michelle Massie <michelle.massie@prestera.org>, Mitch Webb <mwebb@hcmwv.org>, Nancy Fry <nfry@lawv.net>, Nathan Kirk <nathan.r.kirk@wv.gov>, "nthomas@gggh.org" <nthomas@gggh.org>, Nora Ball <ball@branchesdvs.org>, Pamela Carroll <pamela.v.carroll@wv.gov>, Pamela Sturgis <pamela.e.sturgis@wv.gov>, Rachel Bledsoe <rachelbledsoe@emohealth.org>, Rebecca Nottingham <bnottingham@hwvha.org>, Rebekah Stamper <RebekahS@voamid.org>, Rhonda Bills <rhonda.l.bills@wv.gov>, Russ Williams <russwilliams1@hotmail.com>, Sara Blevins <blevins@branchesdvs.org>, Sarah Poston <sarahposton@msn.com>, Sharon Pressman <execdirector@contacthuntington.com>, Sheri Lilly <slilly6@liberty.edu>, Sherrie Myers <sherrie.myers@marshall.edu>, Sherry Shafer <sherrys@voa.org>, Shirley Birchfield <sbirchfield@valleyhealth.org>, Stacy Sexton <camadvocate@contacthuntington.com>, Stacy Toler <StacyT@voamid.org>, stuart <msclstuart@hotmail.com>, "suechage@comcast.net" <suechage@comcast.net>, "decou@branchesdvs.org" <decou@branchesdvs.org>, Taelor Wilson <taelorw44@gmail.com>, Tamatha Miller <tamatha.miller@va.gov>, "tquinonez@hwvha.org" <tquinonez@hwvha.org>, Teresa Swecker <Teresa.A.Swecker@wv.gov>, Tim Baer <tbaer@westbrookhealth.com>, Tim Blake <timothyb@voawv.org>, "virginia.chaffin@cabellcountylib.org" <virginia.chaffin@cabellcountylib.org>, Wendy Billups <wendy.a.billups@wv.gov>, "Marshall Moss (mossm@huntingtonwv.gov)" <MossM@huntingtonwv.gov>, Misty Martin <MartinM@huntingtonwv.gov>, Jan Rader <RaderJ@huntingtonwv.gov>, Kevin Jones <kjones@rccr.org>, Seth King <Sking@rccr.org>, "gcooper@rccr.org" <gcooper@rccr.org>, Johnathan McCallister <john.mccallister@scacwv.org>, "danhager@emohealth.org" <danhager@emohealth.org>, "cyndi@facinghunger.org" <cyndi@facinghunger.org>, Holly Mount <holly.mount81@gmail.com>, "sarah.a.walling@gmail.com" <sarah.a.walling@gmail.com>, "Walker, Jalen" <walker591@marshall.edu>, "Barber, Kayla" <barberka@marshall.edu>, Anastasia Jones-Burdick <Jones-BurdickA@huntingtonwv.gov>, "laymana@huntingtonwv.gov" <LaymanA@huntingtonwv.gov>, "darian.marcum@marshall.edu" <darian.marcum@marshall.edu>, "Marsalis, Simone" <marsalis@marshall.edu>, Jana Stoner <jkstoner@pallottinehuntington.org>, DuRon Jackson <JacksonD@huntingtonwv.gov>, "Hatfield, Henry A" <henry.a.hatfield@wv.gov>, Steven Little <slittle@hcmwv.org>, Sili Bartram <sbartram@hcmwv.org>, Miranda West - I & R <Miranda.West@cabellcountylib.org>, Angela Harshbarger <aharshbarger@hcmwv.org>, Harmony House Staff <chchstaff@harmonyhousewv.com>, "Welsh, Beth Ann" <ellis10@marshall.edu>, "cewing@valleyhealth.org"

<cewing@valleyhealth.org>, Lauren Luther <lluther@recoverypointwv.org>, Lynndell Boling <lboling@recoverypointwv.org>, Breana Bowen <breana.bowen@cabellcountylib.org>, "nicola.may@k12.wv.us" <nicola.may@k12.wv.us>
Cc: "malindamorrello@gmail.com" <malindamorrello@gmail.com>

Good morning everyone,

The Prioritization and Evaluation Subcommittee met on Tuesday, October 1, to review, reject or accept, and rank project applications for inclusion in the CoC's Priority Listing which will be submitted to HUD along with the FY2024 Consolidated CoC NOFO Application. The Local Competition Selection Results are attached. No applications were rejected, and all applications were accepted and ranked by the committee.

See the attached document with the ranked list of projects.

Brittany Ochoa
Executive Director
Pronouns: She/Her/Hers
Cabell-Huntington Coalition for the Homeless
Office: (304) 523-2764, ext. 101
Cell: (304) 730-2050
Fax: (304) 523-3368

Ranked List of Project Applications 2024.pdf
628K

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgzQXJZsNjwmLFrJJKqdKrsRthGjr?projector=1&messagePartId=0.1

CHWCoC Prioritization and Evaluation Results
Ranking of Project Applications
10-1-2024

Rank	Applicant Name	App Type	Project Name	Grant Number	Project Type	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds	Status	Score	Tier
1	CHCH	Renewal	HMIS 2023	WV0007	HMIS	$105,000	$0	Accepted	116	1
2	CHCH	Renewal	Coordinated Entry Renewal FY23	WV0145	CE	$148,134	$0	Accepted	115	1
3	CHCH	Renewal	Housing First Renewal FY23	WV0012	SSO	$107,595	$0	Accepted	114	1
4	BDVS	Renewal	RRH 1 Renewal 2023	WV0155	RRH	$145,986	$0	Accepted	113	1
5	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 23 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0115	PSH	$71,210	$0	Accepted	110	1
6	BDVS	Renewal	RRH 2 Renewal 2023	WV0162	RRH	$122,168	$0	Accepted	103	1
7	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 16/18 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0073	PSH	$100,247	$0	Accepted	95	1
8	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 24 PROJECT RENEWAL	WV0125	PSH	$49,740	$0	Accepted	95	1
9	CHCH	Renewal	Rapid Rehousing Renewal FY23	WV0124	RRH	$422,712	$0	Accepted	87	1
10	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 8/10 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0086	PSH	$199,019	$0	Accepted	80	1
11	HCM	Renewal	Emergency Shelter Rapid Rehousing Program 2023	WV0205	RRH	$127,264	$0	Accepted	77	1
12	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 13/15 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0053	PSH	$125,185	$0	Accepted	65	1
13	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 9/11A/12/22 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0005	PSH	$227,178	$0	Accepted	60	1
14	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH GRANT 2/3/6 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0010	PSH	$529,640	$0	Accepted	50	1
15	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 5/7/11/21 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0046	PSH	$297,634	$0	Accepted	50	1
16	HCM	Renewal	Safe Quarters TH-RRH Project FY2023	WV0217	TH-RRH	$446,471	$0	Accepted	4	Straddles Tiers
17	BDVS	New	C/E DV Bonus	TBD	SSO	$45,341	$0	Accepted	3	2
18	HCM	New-Exp	ES RRH Expansion	TBD	RRH	$30,492	$0	Accepted	2	2
19	HCM	New-Exp	Safe Quarters Expansion	TBD	TH-RRH	$46,993	$0	Accepted	1	2
NR	CHCH	Renewal	Planning	WV003	Planning	$161,259	$0	Accepted	NR	n/a

NR=Not Ranked
*Safe Quarters straddles the Tiers, with $123,953 in Tier 1 and $322,518 in Tier 2.

Search

10:13 AM
10/9/2024

ABOUT US

MISSION & VALUES

HISTORY

OUR STAFF

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

CONTINUUM OF CARE

EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES

CONTACT US

CONTINUUM OF CARE

Please be advised that the P&E Committee has met to review and rank project applications for the Cabell-Huntington-Wayne Continuum of Care Consolidated NOFO application. All project applications received by the committee were accepted and ranked according to the CoC's ranking policies and procedures.

No applications were rejected by the committee. Attached is the final ranked list of the applications reviewed.

Please click here to view a final ranked list of the applications reviewed
CHWCoC Approved FY23 Consolidated Application
CHWCoC Approved FY23 Consolidated Priority Listing

For more information or to be added to the CoC list and to receive meeting announcements and Zoom links, please contact Brittany Ochoa, executive director of the Cabell-Huntington Coalition for the Homeless—the CHWCoC's lead agency, at 304-523-2764, ext. 101, or brittany.ochoa@harmonyhousewv.com.

To subscribe to the SNAPS Competitions listserv please click on the link:

https://www.hud.gov/subscribe/signup?listname=SNAPS%20Competitions&list=SNAPS-COMPETITIONS-L

To subscribe to the SNAPS Program Information listserv please click on the link:

https://www.hud.gov/subscribe/signup?listname=SNAPS%20Program%20Information&list=SNAPS-PROGRAM-INFORMATION-L

The Prioritization and Evaluation Subcommittee met on Tuesday, October 1, to review, reject or accept, and rank project applications for inclusion in the CoC's Priority Listing which will be submitted to HUD along with the FY2024 Consolidated CoC NOFO Application. The Local Competition Selection Results are attached. No applications were rejected, and all applications were accepted and ranked by the committee.

Ranked List of Project Applications 2024

The Cabell Huntington Wayne Continuum of Care (CHWCoC) has been notified that funding is available through HUD for the FY2024-2025 CoC Program Competition. The CHWCoC is seeking **LETTERS OF INTENT (LOI)** from organizations that intend to apply for NEW, RENEWAL, and EXPANSION projects during this competition. LOIs are due by**4:59 PM on Friday, August 16, 2024**. This is a mandatory step for project funding. Attached are the CHWCoC Notice of Funding Opportunity (with details and requirements) and the local competition's timeline.

2024 CHWCoC Local Program Competition Timeline

CHWCoC's Prioritization and Evaluation Subcommittee will meet between September 27 and October 11, 2024 to review and rank project applications for inclusion in the CoC's FY2024 Priority Listing and Consolidated CoC Program Application. A link to the CoC's FY2024 P&E Policies and Procedures and Ranking Tools is below.

2024 CHWCoC Local Program Competition Timeline

P&E Policies and Procedures 2024

2024-chwcoc-program-nofo-notice

EQUAL HOUSING OPPORTUNITY

Last updated: 2024-10-07

627 4TH AVE,
HUNTINGTON, WV 25701

p 304.523.2764
info@harmonyhousewv.com
© 2024 Harmony House.
All rights reserved.

- BOARD OF DIRECTORS
- CONTINUUM OF CARE
- EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES
- CONTACT US
- THE CENTER YOUTH OPPORTUNITY HUB
- THE ESSENTIALS
- CASE MANAGEMENT
- MEDICAL
- PAYEE SERVICE
- TRANSPORTATION
- JOBS FIRST
- VANITY FAIR
- SEATON TAYLOR
- HEISTAD HOUSE
- NELSON APARTMENTS
- HOUSING ASSISTANCE
- OUR LOCATIONS
- NEWS & EVENTS
- GIVING
- MEDIA COVER
- ADOPT-A-ROO PHOTO GALL
- DONATE NOW
  - ADOPT-A-ROO CAMPAIGN
  - NIP TAX CRED
  - IN-KIND DON
  - GET INVOLVE
  - LEAD A FUND CAMPAIGN

CONTINUUM OF CARE

MISSION & VALUES

HISTORY

OUR STAFF

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

CONTINUUM OF CARE

EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES

CONTACT US

RANKED LIST OF PROJECT APPLICATIONS 2024

The Prioritization and Evaluation Subcommittee met on Tuesday, October 1, to review, reject or accept, and rank project applications for inclusion in the CoC's Priority Listing which will be submitted to HUD along with the FY2024 Consolidated CoC NOFO Application. The Local Competition Selection Results are attached. No applications were rejected, and all applications were accepted and ranked by the committee.

CHWCoC Prioritization and Evaluation Results
Ranking of Project Applications
10-1-2024

Rank	Applicant Name	App Type	Project Name	Grant Number	Project Type	Amount Requested from HUD	Realloc Fun
1	CHCH	Renewal	HMIS 2023	WV0007	HMIS	$105,000	$0
2	CHCH	Renewal	Coordinated Entry Renewal FY23	WV0145	CE	$148,134	$0
3	CHCH	Renewal	Housing First Renewal FY23	WV0012	SSO	$107,595	$0
4	BDVS	Renewal	RRH 1 Renewal 2023	WV0155	RRH	$145,986	$0
5	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 23 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0115	PSH	$71,210	$0
6	BDVS	Renewal	RRH 2 Renewal 2023	WV0162	RRH	$122,168	$0
7	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 16/18 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0073	PSH	$100,247	$0
8	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 24 PROJECT RENEWAL	WV0125	PSH	$49,740	$0
9	CHCH	Renewal	Rapid Rehousing Renewal FY23	WV0124	RRH	$422,712	$0
10	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 8/10 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0086	PSH	$199,019	$0
11	HCM	Renewal	Emergency Shelter Rapid Rehousing Program 2023	WV0205	RRH	$127,264	$0
12	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 13/15 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0053	PSH	$125,185	$0
13	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 9/11A/12/22 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0005	PSH	$227,178	$0
14	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH GRANT 2/3/6 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0010	PSH	$529,640	$0
15	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 5/7/11/21 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0046	PSH	$297,634	$0
16	HCM	Renewal	Safe Quarters TH-RRH Project FY2023	WV0217	TH-RRH	$446,471	$0
17	BDVS	New	C/E DV Bonus	TBD	SSO	$45,341	$0
18	HCM	New-Exp	ES RRH Expansion	TBD	RRH	$30,492	$0
19	HCM	New-Exp	Safe Quarters Expansion	TBD	TH-RRH	$46,993	$0
NR	CHCH	Renewal	Planning	WV003	Planning	$161,259	$0

NR=Not Ranked
*Safe Quarters straddles the Tiers, with $123,953 in Tier 1 and $322,518 in Tier 2.

Ranked List of Project Applications 2024

Last updated: 2024-10-07

627 4TH AVE,
HUNTINGTON, WV 25701

p 304.523.2764
info@harmonyhousewv.com
© 2024 Harmony House.
All rights reserved.

LOCAL COMPETITION SELECTION RESULTS

WV-501: Cabell Huntington Wayne Continuum of Care

1E-5b

CHWCoC Prioritization and Evaluation Results
Ranking of Project Applications
10-1-2024

Rank	Applicant Name	App Type	Project Name	Grant Number	Project Type	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds	Status	Score	Tier
1	CHCH	Renewal	HMIS 2023	WV0007	HMIS	**$105,000**	**$0**	**Accepted**	116	1
2	CHCH	Renewal	Coordinated Entry Renewal FY23	WV0145	CE	**$148,134**	**$0**	**Accepted**	115	1
3	CHCH	Renewal	Housing First Renewal FY23	WV0012	SSO	**$107,595**	**$0**	**Accepted**	114	1
4	BDVS	Renewal	RRH 1 Renewal 2023	WV0155	RRH	**$145,986**	**$0**	**Accepted**	113	1
5	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 23 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0115	PSH	**$71,210**	**$0**	**Accepted**	110	1
6	BDVS	Renewal	RRH 2 Renewal 2023	WV0162	RRH	**$122,168**	**$0**	**Accepted**	103	1
7	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 16/18 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0073	PSH	**$100,247**	**$0**	**Accepted**	95	1
8	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 24 PROJECT RENEWAL	WV0125	PSH	**$49,740**	**$0**	**Accepted**	95	1
9	CHCH	Renewal	Rapid Rehousing Renewal FY23	WV0124	RRH	**$422,712**	**$0**	**Accepted**	87	1
10	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 8/10 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0086	PSH	**$199,019**	**$0**	**Accepted**	80	1
11	HCM	Renewal	Emergency Shelter Rapid Rehousing Program 2023	WV0205	RRH	**$127,264**	**$0**	**Accepted**	77	1
12	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 13/15 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0053	PSH	**$125,185**	**$0**	**Accepted**	65	1
13	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 9/11A/12/22 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0005	PSH	**$227,178**	**$0**	**Accepted**	60	1
14	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH GRANT 2/3/6 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0010	PSH	**$529,640**	**$0**	**Accepted**	50	1
15	HWVHA	Renewal	PSH 5/7/11/21 PROJECT RENEWAL CY 23	WV0046	PSH	**$297,634**	**$0**	**Accepted**	50	1
16	HCM	Renewal	Safe Quarters TH-RRH Project FY2023	WV0217	TH-RRH	**$446,471**	**$0**	**Accepted**	4	Straddles Tiers
17	BDVS	New	C/E DV Bonus	TBD	SSO	**$45,341**	**$0**	**Accepted**	3	2
18	HCM	New-Exp	ES RRH Expansion	TBD	RRH	**$30,492**	**$0**	**Accepted**	2	2
19	HCM	New-Exp	Safe Quarters Expansion	TBD	TH-RRH	**$46,993**	**$0**	**Accepted**	1	2
NR	CHCH	Renewal	Planning	WV003	Planning	**$161,259**	**$0**	**Accepted**	NR	n/a

NR=Not Ranked

*Safe Quarters straddles the Tiers, with $123,953 in Tier 1 and $322,518 in Tier 2.

HOUSING LEVERAGING COMMITMENT

WV-501: Cabell Huntington Wayne Continuum of Care

3A-1a

Coordination with Housing & Healthcare

3A-1

Please note: The housing and healthcare commitments included in the application are applicable to both new housing projects, since both applications have been submitted by the same applicant (Huntington City Mission).

Housing Leveraging Commitment/s and Value

Applications	Amount Requested	Value of Commitment	Percentage
1. Safe Quarters Expansion (TH-RRH)	$46,993		
2. ES RRH Expansion (RRH	$30,492		
	$77,485 TOTAL	$100,000	129%

Healthcare Agreement/s and Value

Applications	# of Project Participant in Apps	# of Participants in Agreement	Percentage
1. Safe Quarters Expansion (TH-RRH)	8		
2. ES RRH Expansion (RRH	21		
	29 Participants	12 Participants	41%

THE HUNTINGTON WEST VIRGINIA HOUSING AUTHORITY
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES – JOHNSTON CENTRE
300 WEST SEVENTH AVENUE
HUNTINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA 25701
TELEPHONE (304) 526-4400 FAX (304) 526-4427
P.O. BOX 2183
HUNTINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA 25722
TDD (304)-526-0022

COMMISSIONERS
CHARLES D. SHAW, CHAIRPERSON
SANDRA CLEMENTS, VICE CHAIRPERSON
WENDY D. THOMAS
BOB HANSEN
VIRGINIA CHAFFIN

ADMINISTRATIVE
LARRY D. ELLIS, JR.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

SCOTT McCLURE, ESQUIRE
GENERAL COUNSEL.

10/18/2024

Mitch Webb
624 10th Street
Huntington WV 25701

Mr. Webb,

Huntington West Virginia Housing Authority is proud of the long-standing collaboration that has spanned well over 2 decades. Together we have served countless low-income homeless families and assisted them in finding their way to a better future in providing them temporary housing to permanent housing.

In the next year the West Virginia Housing Authority will assist Huntington City Mission's Emergency Shelter Rapid Rehousing Expansion program in providing a minimum of 10 units to serve at least 12 persons experiencing homelessness from 9/1/2025--8/30/2026. These units will be inspected by our NSPIRE certified inspectors.

This commitment described above is for 7/1/25-6/30/26 for the Emergency Shelter RRH Expansion projects, and the Safe Quarters TH-RRH Expansion project from 7/1/2025--6/30/2026.

Angela Holloway

Angela Holloway
Director of Management
304-526-4436 Ext. 160

HEALTHCARE FORMAL AGREEMENTS

WV-501: Cabell Huntington Wayne Continuum of Care

3A-2

Coordination with Housing & Healthcare

3A-1

Please note: The housing and healthcare commitments included in the application are applicable to both new housing projects, since both applications have been submitted by the same applicant (Huntington City Mission).

Housing Leveraging Commitment/s and Value

Applications	Amount Requested	Value of Commitment	Percentage
1. Safe Quarters Expansion (TH-RRH)	$46,993		
2. ES RRH Expansion (RRH	$30,492		
	$77,485 TOTAL	$100,000	129%

Healthcare Agreement/s and Value

Applications	# of Project Participant in Apps	# of Participants in Agreement	Percentage
1. Safe Quarters Expansion (TH-RRH)	8		
2. ES RRH Expansion (RRH	21		
	29 Participants	12 Participants	41%

Marshall Family Medicine

Mitch Webb
Huntington City Mission
624 10th Street
Huntington, WV 25701

October 18, 2024

Dear Mr. Webb,

Marshall Health's Division of Addiction Sciences in Family and Community Health are proud of the relationship with the Huntington City Mission. Together, we are creating a continuum of care to assist with the health and wellness of those who are unstably housed and homeless through our TRAIN (Training Responders to Assess, Initiate, and Navigate) and our SOR (State Opioid Response) Community Based Peer programs. It is our pledge to provide in kind support to the Emergency Shelter Rapid Rehousing Expansion Program 7/1/2025- 6/30/2026 and the Safe Quarters Rapid Rehousing Program 9/1/2025-8/31/2026 by providing staffing support of Substance abuse and recovery services by providing two full-time peer recovery support specialists in the amount of $80,000 and 15% staffing support through TRAIN in the amount $20,000 annually.

PRSS and TRAIN work with persons who have substance use disorder and/or mental health issues. Their goal is to ensure persons seeking assistance have access to the necessary resources that address the social determinants of health, improving overall quality of life. In conjunction with the Huntington City Mission's Rapid Rehousing projects, TRAIN and Community Based Peer commit to provide services as described above to participants in both the Emergency Shelter Rapid Rehousing Expansion and the Safe Quarters Rapid Rehousing Expansion Programs for the period of 7/1/2025- 8/31/2026. These services will be focused on those currently residing in the counties of Wayne and Cabell and will include working with residents and those newly housed participants of these projects to assist with the resources needed to be housed, linkage and placement in physical and mental/substance use treatment, weekly supports to ensure continued housing to include assessment and when needed training in daily living skills, and community engagement.

Marshall Health's Division of Addiction Sciences in Family and Community Health is committed to continuing the collaboration and community efforts with the Huntington City Mission. Together, we will provide a pathway to safe supportive housing.

Regards,

Beth Ann Welsh, MBA
Associate Director of Operations
Marshall Health's Division of Addiction Sciences in Family and Community Health